

CITY COUNCIL AGENDA
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

THESE PROCEEDINGS ARE BEING PRESENTED LIVE ON KCLV, CABLE CHANNEL 2. THE PROCEEDINGS WILL BE REBROADCAST ON KCLV CHANNEL 2 THE WEDNESDAY OF THE MEETING AT 8:00 PM AND ARE ALSO REBROADCAST ON FRIDAY AT 4:00 AM, SATURDAY AT 7:00 PM, SUNDAY AT 7:00 AM AND THE FOLLOWING MONDAY AT 10:00 AM.

- CALL TO ORDER
- ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW
- INVOCATION – REVEREND DR. PHILLIP HAUSKNECHT, LUTHERAN (RETIRED)
- PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

MINUTES:

PRESENT: MAYOR GOODMAN and COUNCIL MEMBERS REESE, M. McDONALD, BROWN, L.B. McDONALD, WEEKLY, and MACK

Also Present: CITY MANAGER VIRGINIA VALENTINE, CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC, ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY JOHN REDLEIN (A.M. Session), DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TOM GREEN (P.M. Session), and CITY CLERK BARBARA JO RONEMUS

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE - Meeting noticed and posted at the following locations:

Downtown Transportation Center, City Clerk's Board
Senior Citizens Center, 450 E. Bonanza Road
Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Pkwy
Court Clerk's Bulletin Board, City Hall
City Hall Plaza, Posting Board

(9:08)

1-1

REVEREND DR. PHILLIP HAUSKNECHT, Lutheran (retired), gave the invocation.

(9:08 – 9:10)

1-16

MAYOR GOODMAN led the audience in the Pledge.

(9:10 – 9:12)

1-79

MAYOR GOODMAN welcomed COUNCILMAN REESE'S wife, CAROL. He also noted that it was his 30-year wedding anniversary to his wife, Carolyn.

(9:12 – 9:13)

1-116

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001 Announcements – Continued

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN announced that on the previous evening the community came together with very little notice to put on the first boxing match at the Fremont Street Experience. It was electric and exciting. He urged everyone to attend future boxing events, because this event was very special and gave a sense of oneness.

(9:36 – 9:37)

1-905

MAYOR GOODMAN welcomed COUNCILMAN WEEKLY'S in-laws, MR. and MRS. TURNER, to the Council meeting.

(9:37- 9:39)

1-942

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF CITIZEN OF THE MONTH

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN McDONALD introduced the Quinn Family, STEPHEN, VICTORIA, PAUL, STEPHEN JR., and MADELYN, who were chosen as Citizen of the Month for their involvement in the community. Not only is MR. QUINN an active member of the City of Las Vegas Planning Commission, but also his wife and family are very active in fighting for their neighborhood. Not too long ago, MR. QUINN'S sons, STEPHEN JR. and PAUL were struck by a car as they were walking on the street. They were both in very critical condition, but they chose to turn that into something positive and fought to have sidewalks and streetlights put in for the safety of the pedestrians in the neighborhood.

MR. QUINN thanked COUNCILMAN McDONALD and the remaining Council members. MRS. QUINN stated that MAYOR GOODMAN and COUNCILMEN McDONALD and MACK are some of the nicest people they know. She noted that she is happy to know all of them and her family stands by COUNCILMAN McDONALD and will continue to do so.

(9:13 – 9:18)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

HONORABLE MENTION TO THE STUDENTS WHO HAVE RECEIVED THE NEVADA LEAGUE OF CITIES YOUTH AWARDS

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD proudly announced the names of the students who received the Nevada League of Cities Youth Awards for the essays they submitted. This is a contest that encourages leadership, good government, and public service. As she called the names of the following students, she mentioned the various projects they are involved in:

MICHAEL ESCOSIA, First Place, K. O. Knudson Middle School; DANIELLE MOOSHAGIAN, Runner Up, Bonanza High School; TRACI RAWLINSON (who could not be present), Honorable Mention, Western High School; and BRANDOLYNN ADAMS, Honorable Mention, Western High School.

On behalf of the City Council, COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD congratulated the students for their leadership and community involvement, and told them that they are expected to continue in their efforts and in serving the citizens of Las Vegas.

JUDGE JOHNNIE RAWLINSON came forward on behalf of her daughter, TRACIE RAWLINSON, and stated that her daughter could not be present because of finals. She thanked the City of Las Vegas for recognizing her daughter's accomplishments and noted that she and her husband have always taught their daughter to strive to excel.

(9:18 – 9:23)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF THE AMERICAN PRIDE AWARD TO THE STUDENTS OF RUTHE
DESKIN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN BROWN made a special presentation to the students from Ruthe Deskin Elementary School who received the American Pride Award. These students were challenged to memorize and recite a host of historical statements of the United States: names of all the presidents, names of states and their capitals, preamble of the United States Constitution, Star Spangled Banner, and the Gettysburg Address.

He announced their names as each of the students came forward and received a certificate and a City of Las Vegas pin, and then recited the Gettysburg Address. The students were: DUSTIN DRESSEL, GEORGE DAVIS, JOSEPH JOHNSON, THOMAS ENFUCIO, ALEX HERSHEY, LOGAN NITA, CHAD PEREZ, NICOLE DISELO, ALEXANDER WOOD, JOHN ADAMS, JEFFREY MULMIN, SHELBY NELSON, SAMUEL CORBAR, RONALD MAGGET, VALERIE KRILOWITZ, ALEXANDRA BORSCHWITZ, FRANKIE KAMUTLIA, PENNY CORRELI, DANDY FRENCH, MICHAEL FABREGE CURRIE, CHELSEA RUTH, CARY INGLERT, T. J. BOSATH, and SEAN CHANNEL.

COUNCILMAN BROWN acknowledged JEANNIE JOHNSON, Gate Teacher, and DR. SHELLY CHANNEL, Principal, Ruthe Deskin Elementary School.

(9:23 –9:30)

1-474

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001

Ceremonial Matters

Recognition of the Participants of the Senior Softball Tournament

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

DR. BARBARA JACKSON, Director, Leisure Services Department, indicated that each year Leisure Services Sports Division sponsors the Annual Senior Softball Tournament. This year was one of the most competitive years ever with teams from all over the Southwest participating. Seniors ranged in ages from 50 to a young 85, and there were over 65 teams that competed for the Mayor's Championship Trophy. She thanked JOHN CHAMBERS, BOBBY McROY, and STACIE ALSBROOK of Leisure Services for making this tournament a reality. She asked the Trap House Team, this year's Tournament Champions, to come forward.

AL VERA, Trap House Team member, thanked the City Council and the City of Las Vegas for their support of the Las Vegas Senior Softball Association. He introduced the team members that could be present: DAVE MUSKO, Manager; HECTOR RODRIGUEZ, FREDDIE MASSI, BOB SULLIVAN, HERMAN COFILL, PILAR GOMEZ, AL CARI, Sponsor, and HAL DENIM, President of the Association.

MR. DENIM thanked the Council members as well as staff members of the Leisure Services Department for their assistance and a fine tournament. He indicated that in appreciation the team members agreed that MAYOR GOODMAN should be a lifetime member of the Association; therefore, he presented MAYOR GOODMAN with a Trap House baseball cap and a softball autographed by the majority of the members of the Association. He also noted that MAYOR GOODMAN has really made a difference in the City of Las Vegas. MAYOR GOODMAN stated that he would keep the cap; the softball would stay on the 10th floor for display.

(9:30 – 9:36)

1-709

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Any items from the morning session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 24, 76, 77, 78, 79, 91, and 92 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN and COUNCILMAN MACK requested that Items 45 and 23, respectively, be pulled from the Consent Agenda for discussion. COUNCILMAN MACK also requested that Item 75 be trailed to the afternoon session. COUNCILMAN REESE noted that an individual requested that Item 29 be pulled from the Consent Agenda for discussion.

NOTE: See the individual aforementioned Items for actions taken and discussion.

There was no further discussion.

(9:39 – 9:41)

1-1013

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Approval of the Final Minutes by reference of the Regular City Council Meeting of May 2, 2001

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED by Reference - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:41 – 9:42)

1-1101

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Service and Material Checks/Payroll Checks/Wire Transfers/Other Checks and Investments

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Accounting Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In compliance with the City's Municipal Code, Chapter 4.12, this is an informational item that provides the dollar amount of disbursements processed by the Finance and Business Services Department.

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Summary of cash expenditures for the period 04/16/01 - 04/30/01

Total Services and Materials Checks	\$ 14,757,701.78
Total Payroll Checks	\$ 4,106,669.66
Total Wire Transfers	\$108,939,457.27
Other Checks and Investments	\$ 176,714.89

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK noted that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all of the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item be approved by the City Council.

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE & BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Notice of Intent to Augment the Fiscal Year 2001 Annual Budget of the General Fund

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Publish notice of the City's intent to act on a resolution to augment the FY2001 Budget of the General Fund in an amount not to exceed \$15 million in order to effect an increase in appropriations, pursuant to NRS 354.615. Public hearing to be held in City Council Chambers June 20, 2001.

RECOMMENDATION:

Accept intent to augment and authorize notice to be properly posted and published.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Notice for Publication

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License for Nevada Sports Network/Elmore Sports,
Location: Bruce Trent Park, 1600 North Rampart Blvd., Date: June 9 & 10, 2001, Type: Special
Event Beer/Wine, Event: Las Vegas International Beer Festival, Responsible Person in Charge:
Alexander Shelton - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License for Stratosphere Tower Casino & Hotel, Location: North Parking Lot, 2000 South Las Vegas Blvd., Date: July 4, 2001, Type: Special Event Beer/Wine, Event: Viewing of fireworks display, Responsible Person in Charge: Christina Rogers - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Franchise Manager for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License subject to the provisions of Health Dept. regulations, 7-Eleven of Nevada, Inc., dba 7-Eleven Food Store #27904C, 8639 West Sahara Ave., Steven R. Spickler, Frnch Mgr, Paula G. Spickler, Frnch Mgr - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Franchise Manager for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License subject to the provisions of Health Dept. regulations

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of Health Dept. regulations

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Ownership/Location & Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots, From: LVCI, dba Meadows Inn, 525 East Bonanza Rd. (Non-operational), Robert E. O'Connell, Dir, Pres and Mary A. O'Connell, Dir, Secy, Treas, 97% jointly as husband and wife, To: Tropics, LLC, dba Tropics Broiler & Bar, Kent K. Kananu, Mgr, Mmbr, 42.5%, Gregory N. Becker, Mgr, Mmbr, 42.5%, Nancy K. Dehler, Mmbr, 15% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Ownership/Location & Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Ownership for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots subject to the provisions of the fire and planning codes, From: M.E.G. Bar, Inc., Michael E. Gunia, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100%, To: G.N.H. Investments, Inc., dba Cheyenne Saloon, 3105 North Rancho Dr., Donald Holman, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100%, Sam S. Kamper, Investor, 0% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Ownership for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire and planning codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 4 slots, Green Valley Gaming, Inc., db at Clean Center Laundromat, 1200 West Owens Ave. - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 4 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots, Green Valley Gaming, Inc., db at Veterans of Foreign Wars #1753, 705 Las Vegas Blvd., North - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Burglar Alarm Service License, Diebold Incorporated, dba Diebold, Inc., 4305 East Sahara Ave., Unit 9, David Bucci, Sr VP, Richard E. Miller, Mgr - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Burglar Alarm Service License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for a Burglar Alarm License, Sun Security Services, Inc., dba Millennium Security Services, From: 5836 South Pecos Rd., To: 2875 East Patrick Lane, Suite P, Tim W. Contraman, Pres, Treas, 40%, Robert Sessions, Secy, 40%, Gary B. Pierce, Dir, 20%, John Marsh, Gen Mgr - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for a Burglar Alarm License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Mei Juan Zheng, dba Mei Juan Zheng, 4601 West Sahara Ave., Suite G, Mei J. Zheng, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Kym E. Trudeau, dba Kym E. Trudeau, 3300 North Tenaya Way, #1062, Kym E. Trudeau, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Carol J. Williams, dba Carol J. Williams, 7310 Smoke Ranch Road, Suite M, Carol J. Williams, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location and Name for an Independent Massage Therapist License,
From: Maria Lappin, dba Maria Lappin, 1016 Eugene Cernan Street, To: Maria Andruschenko,
dba Maria Andruschenko, 2620 Regatta Drive, Suite 113, Maria Andruschenko, 100% - Ward 4
(Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location and Name for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Cheryl Ann Smith, dba Cheryl Ann Smith, From: 1305 Markwood Circle, To: 3248 Crystal Pool Drive, Cheryl A. Smith, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License,

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Locksmith License, Brian Preth, dba Brians Lock & Key, 3440 Sun Rhythm Street, Brian R. Preth, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Locksmith License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Locksmith License, David M. Blankenburg, dba D & D Lock Service, 5200 Mantua Court, David M. Blankenburg, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Locksmith License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for a Martial Arts Instruction Business License, Richard L. Pulsifer, Sr., dba American Karate School, From: 4025 West Charleston Blvd., To: 2245 North Decatur Blvd., Suite F, Richard L. Pulsifer, 100% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for a Martial Arts Instruction Business License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Business Name for a Massage Establishment License, Scissors Palace, Inc., dba From: Scissors Palace Salon & Day Spa, To: Versailles Salon & Day Spa, 1820 South Rainbow Blvd., Natalie Hetley, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Business Name for a Massage Establishment License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Preapproval of Bid Number 01.15301.16-LED, Elkhorn Springs Neighborhood Park, to the lowest, responsive and responsible bidder; and approve the construction conflicts and contingency reserve set by Finance and Business Services - Department of Public Works (monetary range \$1,900,000 - \$2,700,000 - Capital Projects Fund) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$1,900,000 - \$2,700,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Work consists of a new nine (9) acre park, partly within an existing detention basin next to Betsy Rhodes Elementary School. Improvements include: detention basin grading and flow; restrooms; shaded play areas; lighted skateboard area; water play area; two (2) lighted tennis courts; an events arena; combining a lighted basketball court with a grassy amphitheatre; shaded game board area; exercise stations and other site improvements. Project location is the Northwest Corner of Buffalo Drive and Golden Talon Avenue.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council preapprove award of Bid Number 01.15301.16-LED, Elkhorn Springs Neighborhood Park, to the lowest, responsive and responsible bidder in the monetary range of \$1,900,000 - \$2,700,000; approve a construction conflicts and contingency reserve set by Finance and Business Services; and authorize the Mayor to execute the Contract subsequent to Council approval.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED award of bid to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder, eliminating the roller hockey element only - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

APPEARANCES:

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services

JOHN McNELLIS, Deputy Director, Public Works Department

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Finance and Business Services
Item 23 – Bid No. 01.15301.16-LED

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed the City Clerk's office to include the transcript of this item in the backup documentation for the upcoming Capital Improvements Projects meeting.

(9:43 – 9:53)

1-1142

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of rejection of bids and award of Bid Number 010079-TC, for Lot I, Purchase of Four (4) Broom Street Sweepers - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: HAAKER EQUIPMENT COMPANY (\$524,000 - Capital Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$524,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide for the purchase of four (4) Broom Street Sweepers to be used by Streets and Sanitation.

The two low bidders for Lot I are disqualified based upon Instruction to Bidders, paragraph 9 (a) Disqualification of Bidders, nonconformance of supplies, services, materials equipment or labor. After testing models presented by the two low bidders, their equipment was deemed not to conform to requested specifications.

POC: Haaker Equipment Company - Bill Haaker - (702) 598-2706

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the rejection of the two lowest bids, and approve the award of Bid Number 010079-TC, Lot I Purchase of Four (4) Sweepers to Haaker Equipment in the amount of \$524,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 24, 76, 77, 78, 79, 91, and 92 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:39 – 9:41)

1-1013

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the issuance of a Purchase Order for Annual Requirements Contract for Liquid Cationic Polymer (DR) - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: CYTEC INDUSTRIES (Estimated annual amount of \$475,000 - Enterprise Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$475,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Environmental

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Enterprise Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide for the second extension for an annual requirements contract for liquid cationic polymer to be used at the Water Pollution Control Facility. This item has been approved as a sole source because the construction delay at the WPCF Dewatering Building restricts staff from performing the proper testing procedures of products by competitive suppliers. The construction is scheduled for completion by early 2002 at which time testing and competitive bidding procedures will commence. The contract period will be from 7/1/01 through 6/30/02.

POC: Rawlin Castro -(415) 661-2972

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for an annual requirements contract for liquid cationic polymer to Cytec Industries for the period from July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002 in the estimated annual amount of \$475,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of revision number two to Purchase Order Number 209932 (TG), Annual Requirements Contract for Fire Fighting Tools and Equipment - Department of Fire & Rescue - Award recommended to: L.N. CURTIS & SONS (\$200,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$200,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Fire & Rescue Services

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On February 7, 2001, City Council approved revision number one to increase estimated annual amount of purchase order 209932 for the annual requirements contract for fire fighting tools and equipment in the amount of \$40,000. Revision number two will increase estimated annual amount by \$200,000 to allow for the purchase of replacement nozzles, hoses and additional equipment for new and existing fire apparatus vehicles. With this revision, the new estimated annual amount is \$260,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve revision number two of purchase order 209932 for the annual requirements contract for fire fighting tools and equipment to L.N. Curtis & Sons in the amount of \$200,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Interlocal Cooperative Agreement and the issuance of a Purchase Order to provide bus transportation for the Department of Leisure Services' recreation and special events programs (CW) - Department of Leisure Services - Award recommended to: CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT (Estimated amount of \$187,400 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: Estimated \$187,400

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Leisure Services

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request provides bus transportation service to various recreation and special events for the Department of Leisure Services.

This agreement is exempt from the competitive bidding requirement pursuant to NRS 227.180, Interlocal Contracts.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the interlocal cooperative agreement with Clark County School District and issuance of a purchase order for bus transportation services for the period from July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002, in the estimated amount of \$187,400.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Cooperative Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICE

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Agreement and issuance of Purchase Order for Predictive Maintenance Equipment and Consulting Services for vibration and oil analysis (DR) - Public Works - Award recommended to: COMPUTATIONAL SYSTEMS, INC. (CSI) (\$157,629 - Enterprise Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$157,629

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Environmental

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Enterprise Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This purchase will provide the Water Pollution Control Facility with an oil analysis, laser alignment, motor diagnostics, vibration analysis and balancing equipment program with all the required software, support, consulting and training services.

POC: Robert Kluszczynski - (661) 251-8109

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the agreement and issuance of the purchase order for Predictive Maintenance Equipment and Consulting Services to Computational Systems, Inc. (CSI) in the amount of \$157,629.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agreement
2. Disclosure Statement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 010036-TC, Annual Requirements Contract for Tree Care Services - Departments of Field Operations and Public Works - Award recommended to: TRUGREEN LAND CARE (\$140,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$140,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Field Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide for tree care services to be used by Field Operations and Public Works, within the City of Las Vegas parks and open spaces.

POC: Camilla Baca - (626) 359-6647

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 010036-TC, Annual Requirements Contract for Tree Care Services to TruGreen Land Care, for the period from date of award through July 30, 2002, with four (4) one-year options to renew in the estimated amount of \$140,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

ROGER STRANGE, Trim Time Tree Service, 2787 Hermosa, was present.

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services, recommended award of bid to the lowest responsible and responsive bidder. The previous evening he received a call from MR. STRANGE who felt he should be awarded the bid. However, MR. STRANGE'S bid was 35 percent higher than the low bidder.

MR. STRANGE requested special consideration, even though his bid was higher, because he is a native Las Vegan, an injured Veteran, and the money would stay in the community.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Finance and Business Services
Item 29 – Bid No. 010036-TC

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN REESE appreciated MR. STRANGE’S efforts; however, indicated that the Council must follow the rules in place.

COUNCILMAN BROWN asked if this request would qualify for bidder’s preference. MR. VINCENT answered that Nevada Revised Statutes provide for five percent bidder’s preference on public works projects only. Even if it were a public works contract, MR. STRANGE’S bid was outside of the competitive range.

There was no further discussion.

(9:53 – 9:57)

1-1535

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of the issuance of purchase orders for Leisure Services' summer program field trips (CW) - Department of Leisure Services - Award recommended to: VARIOUS VENDORS (Estimated aggregate amount of \$110,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$110,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Leisure Services☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Leisure Services has a program for children which provides summer field trips to various establishments throughout the Las Vegas area. Includes, but not limited to, field trips to Circus Circus Adventuredome, Gameworks, Mountasia Family Fun Center, Chuck E. Cheese and Scandia Family Fun Center.

These purchase orders are exempt from competitive bidding in accordance with NRS 332.115 1(a), items which may only be contracted from a sole source.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of annual purchase orders to various local family oriented entertainment establishments for an estimated amount of \$110,000 for the entire summer program.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the award of Bid Number 010078-TG, Annual Requirements Contract for Manual and Motorized Overhead Doors Maintenance - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: SPECIALTY DOORS OF NEVADA (Estimated annual amount of \$100,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$100,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This requirement will provide the Field Operations Department with an annual requirements contract for the maintenance of manual and motorized overhead doors for all fire stations and other city facilities.

POC: Ray Quartermus - (702) 869-2345

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 010078-TG, Annual Requirements Contract for Manual and Motorized Overhead Doors Maintenance to Specialty Doors of Nevada, for the period from date of award through 5/31/02, with four one-year options to renew, in the estimated amount of \$100,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of rejection of bid and award of Bid Number 010045-TC, Annual Requirements Contract for Insecticides and Fertilizers - Departments of Field Operations and Public Works - Award recommended to: WESTERN ORGANICS, INC. (\$85,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$85,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations/Public Works

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide for the purchase of insecticides and fertilizers to be used by Field Operations and Public Works in parks and open spaces within the City of Las Vegas.

The apparent low bidder, R.C. Supply, is disqualified based upon Instruction to Bidders, paragraph 9(f); failure to properly complete the Bid Proposal. This is considered material in nature and staff deems their bid as non-responsive.

POC: Michael McGregor - (702) 639-0370

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the rejection of the R.C. Supply bid, and award Bid Number 010045-TC, Annual Requirements Contract for Insecticides and Fertilizers to Western Organics in the estimated amount of \$85,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of Joinder Agreement to authorize use of City of Henderson Bid Number 118-99*00 (CW) for an Annual Requirements Contract for Fitness Equipment for the period June 6, 2001, through July 10, 2002 - Departments of Fire & Rescue and Leisure Services - Award recommended to: COMMERCIAL FITNESS (Estimated annual amount of \$78,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$78,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Fire & Rescue/Leisure Svcs.☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On July 11, 2000, the City of Henderson approved the award of bid number 118-99*00 for an Annual Requirements Contract for Fitness Equipment for the period from July 11, 2000 through July 10, 2002. Approval of this item will enable various City departments to purchase fitness equipment through the City of Henderson award.

This purchase is exempt from competitive bidding process pursuant to NRS 332.195, which allows local governments to join onto or use the contracts of other local governments.

POC: Ian McIntosh - (702) 429-0620

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the use of the City of Henderson Bid Number 118-99*00 for an annual requirements contract for fitness equipment for the period June 6, 2001 through July 10, 2002 with Commercial Fitness in the estimated annual amount of \$78,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Joinder Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 010020-TC, Annual Requirements Contract for Trees and Shrubs - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: DAVIS NURSERY (\$70,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$70,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Parks and Open Spaces

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide for the purchase of trees and shrubs to be used by Parks and Open Spaces for the replacement and introduction of trees and shrubs at various parks and open spaces within the City of Las Vegas.

POC: Jenna Davis - (702) 384-2187

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 010020-TC, Annual Requirements Contract for Trees and Shrubs to Davis Nursery, for the period from date of award through June 30, 2002, with four (4) one-year options to renew in the estimated amount of \$70,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the issuance of a Purchase Order for an Annual Requirements Contract for Laboratory Analyses (DR) - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: VARIOUS SUPPLIERS (Estimated annual aggregate amount of \$65,000 - Enterprise Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$65,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Environmental

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Enterprise Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request provides for an annual requirements contract for lab analyses of various water samples taken from the Water Pollution Control Facility. These analyses are required as part of the City's Treatment Program to meet EPA permit requirements. This requirement will be from July 1, 2001, through June 30, 2002.

This purchase is exempt from competitive bidding pursuant to NRS 332.115 1(b), Professional Services.

POC: B. Cunningham, NEL Labs, Inc. - (702) 657-1010

POC: J. LeMay, Aquatic Testing Labs - (805) 650-0546

POC: T. Brace, Alpha Analytical - (775) 355-1044

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of an annual requirements contract for laboratory analyses to various supplies in the estimated annual aggregate amount of \$65,000 for the period from July 1, 2001, through June 30, 2002.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 010076-DAR, Annual Requirements Contract for Welding Supplies and Gases - Various Departments - Award recommended to: VARIOUS VENDORS (Estimated annual aggregate amount of \$51,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$51,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Various Departments

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide for miscellaneous welding supplies and gases used in the daily operation of the City.

Praxair Distribution, Inc.

Lot I - POC: Steve Blado - (702) 252 7877

Desert Industrial Gas Co.

Lots II, III, VII, IX and X - POC: Dennis Baker - (702) 651 1311

Airgas West

Lots IV, V, VI and VII - POC: Robert Shivers - (702) 649 2020

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 010076-DAR, Annual Requirements Contract for Welding Supplies and Gases to Various Vendors from July 1, 2001, through June 30, 2002, with four (4) one-year options to renew in the estimated annual aggregate amount of \$51,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the rejection of bid and award of Bid Number 010082-TG, Annual Requirements Contract for Graphic Art Supplies - Department of Information Technologies - Award recommended to: PRIME SOURCE CORPORATION and NELSON-KEYSTONE (Estimated annual amount of \$50,000 - Internal Service Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: Estimated \$50,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Info. Tech./Graphic Arts

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Internal Service Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide for an annual requirements contract for the purchase of various graphic art supplies to be used by the Graphic Arts Division in the Information Technologies Department to perform daily operations.

The apparent low bidder, NewCo. Printing Supply Co., failed to bid all line items in Lot VI as specified in Special Conditions, paragraph 1. This is considered material in nature and staff deems their bid as non-responsive.

Prime Source Corporation - POC: Phil Trifunac - (702) 384-9988

Nelson-Keystone - POC: Celeste Orduno - (702) 895-6871

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve rejection of NewCo Printing Supply Co. bid for Lot VI and award Bid No.010082-TG-Lots I, III, IV, V and VI to Prime Source Corp. and Lot II to Nelson-Keystone, from date of award through May 31, 2002, w/4 one-year options to renew in the est. annual amount of \$50,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Finance and Business Services
Item 37 - Bid No. 010082-TG

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the issuance of a Purchase Order for an Annual Requirements Contract for MacIntosh Computers (CW) - Department of Information Technologies - Award recommended to: APPLE COMPUTER, INC. (Estimated annual amount of \$30,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: Estimated \$30,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Information Technologies

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request provides for an annual requirements contract for MacIntosh computers for Information Technologies' capital replacement program. Two quotations were solicited, to ensure fair and reasonable pricing.

This purchase is exempt from competitive bidding pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(g), Hardware and associated peripheral equipment and devices for computers.

POC: Bill Shier -1-800-462-7753 ext. 42854

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for an annual requirements contract for MacIntosh computers to Apple Computer, Inc., in the estimated annual amount of \$30,000 for the period from July 1, 2001, through June 30, 2002.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of rejection of all bids on Bid Number 01.15301.14-LED, Detention Facility Voice Logging System Upgrade - Department of Detention & Enforcement - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Bid Number 01.15301.14-LED was advertised and issued March 9, 2001 to furnish and install a voice logging system upgrade for the Detention Facility. The scope of work required that the successful bidder be licensed by the State Contractor's Board with a C-2 (Electrical) license. The low bidder and the second low bidder did not hold the appropriate license. The third and fourth bidders did have the proper license but their bids were over the budgeted amount. The project will be re-scoped and resubmitted for bidding in the near future.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council reject all bids received on Bid Number 01.15301.14-LED, Detention Facility Voice Logging System Upgrade.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of rescission of award and re-award of Bid Number 01.1762.04-RC, Annual Crack Seal - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: LAS VEGAS PAVING CORPORATION (Estimated annual amount \$500,000 - Street Rehabilitation Fund) - All Wards

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$500,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Streets & San.

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Street Rehabilitation Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This project was originally approved at the May 16, 2001 Council Meeting with the award going to CPM West. This award was made in error and this request is to rescind the previous award and re-award to the correct contractor - LAS VEGAS PAVING CORPORATION.

This project represents Pavement Managements' Annual Contract for Crack Seal. The contract term will be from the date of award through May 1, 2002, including four (4) one-year renewal options.

POC: Cory Newcombe (702) 251-5800

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council rescind the award to CPM West and approve the award of Bid Number 01.1762.04-RC, Annual Crack Seal to LAS VEGAS PAVING CORPORATION, in the estimated amount of \$500,000; and authorize the Mayor to execute the Contract subsequent to Council approval.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FIRE & RESCUE

DIRECTOR: MARIO H. TREVINO

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Professional Services Agreement with Aztec Engineering Nevada LLC for the site improvements design services of Fire Station #43 located at Smoke Ranch and Torrey Pines, Fire Station #44 at Buffalo and Washington and Fire Station #45 at Gilmore and Fort Apache (\$121,617 - Fire Initiative 2000 Bonds) - Wards 6, 2 and 4 (Mack, L.B. McDonald and Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$121,617

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Fire & Rescue/Suppression

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Fire Initiative 2000 bonds

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

To design site improvements for new fire stations #43, #44, and #45, consisting of; surveys, grading, off site and on site improvements, parking, traffic control, drainage, utilities, and geotechnical investigation.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approve the negotiated Professional Services Agreement with Aztec Engineering Nevada LLC for the design services of Fire Stations #43, #44 and #45 in the amount of \$121,617 and approve an Additional Services contingency reserve of \$15,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Professional Services Agreement with Aztec Engineering Nevada LLC

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FIRE & RESCUE

DIRECTOR: MARIO H. TREVINO

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Professional Services Agreement with DEC, Inc for the engineering, plumbing, electrical and construction design services of Fire Station #43 located at Smoke Ranch and Torrey Pines, Fire Station #44 at Buffalo and Washington and Fire Station #45 at Gilmore and Fort Apache (\$104,500 - Fire Initiative 2000 Bonds) - Wards 6, 2 and 4 (Mack, L.B. McDonald and Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$104,500

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Fire & Rescue/Suppression

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Fire Initiative 2000 bonds

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

To re-site the prototype fire station design for new fire stations # 43, # 44 and # 45, consisting of mechanical, plumbing, electrical, and structural design including calculations, drawings and details.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approve the negotiated Professional Services Agreement with DEC, Inc for the design services of Fire Stations # 43, # 44 and # 45 in the amount of \$104,500 and approve an Additional Services contingency reserve of \$15,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Professional Services Agreement with DEC, Inc

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES

DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to seek competitive bids for the City Health Insurance medical program

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City Health Insurance Plan (CHIP) is a self-insured medical Preferred Provider Organization (PPO) plan. Many of the vendor contracts expire on 12/31/01. A Request for Proposal (RFP) would enable the City to remain competitive in the marketplace as to plan design and costs for the upcoming calendar year(s).

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the Request for Proposal (RFP) for the City Health Insurance Plan be approved.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Time Line for RFP Group Health Insurance Plan - Plan Year Beginning January 1, 2002.
2. RFP Review Process.

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the Second Amendment to the Interlocal Agreement between Clark County and the City of Las Vegas to extend the agreement to December 30, 2001 for the Senior Safety Project

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Services Department was granted additional time to complete the scope of services set forth in the agreement. The Senior Safety Project helps seniors avoid the dangers in and around their homes. The project checks existing smoke detectors and/or install, free of charge, smoke detectors and Halogen Sensor lights in the homes of seniors to decrease their chances of becoming victims of fire accidents or violent acts. Since the inception of this project, over 260 seniors have participated.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval of the Second Amendment to the Interlocal Agreement between Clark County and the City of Las Vegas for the Senior Safety Project and authorize the Mayor to execute the agreement.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Second Amendment to Interlocal Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of a \$100,000 deferred loan of Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds to S2 Art Group, Ltd., to provide gap financing for the purpose of moving its corporate headquarters from New York to #1 West Charleston Boulevard, Las Vegas - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$100,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** CDBG Funds**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

S2 Art Group, Ltd. desires to move its corporate headquarters from New York to Las Vegas. The project will include creation of a wholesale showroom and retail art gallery, light assembly and whole printing using fine art lithography presses, world-wide distribution, and e-commerce. S2 has obtained a \$400,000 loan but has determined that to ensure a successful move and business start-up will require an additional \$100,000. In return, S2 has agreed to employ up to 10 persons, 51 percent of which will be low-moderate income, within an 18-month period.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends approval of the \$100,000 CDBG economic development deferred loan to S2 Art Group, Ltd., for gap financing.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Community Development Block Grant Agreement Between the City of Las Vegas and S2 Art Group, Ltd.

MOTION:

GOODMAN – APPROVED as recommended - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN explained that he requested the matter be pulled out of the Consent Agenda for discussion because the S2 Art Group, a very interesting lithograph shop, deserves recognition. The S2 Group approached him about six month ago expressing interest in relocating its operation from New York to Las Vegas. Through the efforts of SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director, Neighborhood Services Department, this was made possible. The S2 Art Group shop will be located next to the Art Factory and will open its doors to university students who are interested in art that want to see how the process works. The S2 Group will also put its energy into the creation of an arts district.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001

Neighborhood Services

Item 45 – Approval of a \$100,000 deferred loan of Community Development Block Grant funds to S2 Art Group, Ltd., to provide gap financing for the purpose of moving its corporate headquarters from New York to #1 West Charleston Boulevard

MINUTES – Continued:

JACK SOLOMON, Chairman, S2 Art Group, concurred with the Mayor about an arts district. He thanked Las Vegas for the fabulous reception it received. Las Vegas is a great city and he is proud to be a part of it.

There was no further discussion.

(9:57– 10:00)

1-1681

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Fourth Supplemental Interlocal Contract for LAS 01 B 95 Angel Park Detention Basin Expansion by and between the Clark County Regional Flood Control District and the City of Las Vegas to extend the completion date of this project due to delay in BLM permitting and resolution of environmental issues - Ward 2 (L. B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Fourth Supplemental Interlocal Contract extends the date of completion for the Angel Park Detention Basin Expansion due to delay in BLM permitting and resolution of environmental issues. The CCRFCD approved this contract at their April 12, 2001 Board meeting. Total cost for this project shall not exceed \$1,523,000.00.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Fourth Supplemental Interlocal Contract LAS.01.B.95

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Second Supplemental Interlocal Contract for LAS 16 A 98 Ann Road Channel West - Allen Road to Rancho Drive by and between the Clark County Regional Flood Control District and the City of Las Vegas to redistribute funds in the interlocal contract - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Second Supplemental Interlocal Contract applies to the redistribution of funds in the interlocal contract to increase right-of-way and decrease engineering design for the Ann Road Channel West - Allen Road to Rancho Drive project. The CCRFCD approved this contract at their April 12, 2001 Board meeting. Total cost for this project shall not exceed \$950,000.00.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Second Supplemental Interlocal Contract LAS.16.A.98

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Fifth Supplemental Interlocal Contract for LAS 09 L 98 Freeway Channel - Alta Drive to Sahara Avenue by and between the Clark County Regional Flood Control District and the City of Las Vegas to extend the completion date of this project to allow time to redesign the Charleston lateral from Charleston Boulevard to Tonopah Road as requested by University Medical Center Hospital and Clark County Regional Flood Control District and to acquire potential financing through University Medical Center - Wards 1, 3 and 5 (M. McDonald, Reese and Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** PW/City Engineer☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This Fifth Supplemental Interlocal Contract extends the date of completion for the Freeway Channel - Alta Drive to Sahara Avenue. Due to the request of UMC Hospital and CCRFCD staff, the City extended the design of the Freeway Channel project for the Charleston Boulevard lateral from Shadow Lane to Tonopah Road. The City is requesting additional time to complete this change in design limits and to acquire potential financing through UMC. The CCRFCD approved this contract at their April 12, 2001 Board meeting. Total cost for this project shall not exceed \$3,827,000.00.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Fifth Supplemental Interlocal Contract LAS.09.L.98

MOTION:**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS****Items 23, 29, and 45:****APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)**Item 24:****STRICKEN under separate action** (see individual item)**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Second Supplemental Interlocal Contract for LAS 10 F 97 Gowan Outfall Lone Mountain Branch - Ferrell Street to Kenny Way by and between the Clark County Regional Flood Control District and the City of Las Vegas to extend the completion date of the design contract through the construction of this project - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Second Supplemental Interlocal Contract extends the date of completion for the Gowan Outfall Lone Mountain Branch - Ferrell Street to Kenny Way design contract through the construction of the project. The CCRFCD approved this contract at their April 12, 2001 Board meeting. Total cost for this project shall not exceed \$150,000.00.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Second Supplemental Interlocal Contract LAS.10.F.97

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to file a Right-of-Way Grant application with the Bureau of Land Management for roadway, sewer and drainage purposes on portions of land lying within the Northeast Quarter (NE 1/4) of Section 13, T19S, R60E, M.D.M., 30' wide located along the north side of Whispering Sands Drive between Bradley Road and Thom Boulevard - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Sewer Connection and Interlocal Contract with Clark County Sanitation District - VTN Nevada on behalf of SRE NEVADA-1 L.L.C., owner (south of Sahara Avenue and west of Tioga Way APN #163-10-102-003) - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request is to connect a commercial car lot located south of Sahara Avenue and west of Tioga Way. The owner proposes to connect to an existing 21" City sewer line located in Sahara Avenue. The Planning Department has determined the project does conform to the City's General Plan for the area; there is sufficient capacity in the City Sanitary Sewer. The applicant has signed a "Sewer Connection Agreement."

RECOMMENDATION:

Public Works recommends approval subject to conformance with all City codes and departmental standards and off-site improvements.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda memo

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an encroachment request from Phillip Regeski on behalf of Campaige Place Limited Partnership, owner (Eighth Street between Stewart Avenue and Ogden Avenue and the southeast corner of Ninth Street and Stewart Avenue) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The existing encroachments consists of desert landscape and a drip irrigation system along the 8th St. property line between Stewart Avenue and Ogden Avenue and along the Stewart Avenue and Ogden Avenue property lines extending 400' eastward from 8th St. Landscaping along the 9th St. property line extending 200' from Stewart Avenue and on Stewart Avenue extending 140' eastward from 9th Street to satisfy conditions of V-98-96 and SP-3-97 for Campaige Place. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of liability, maintenance, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Copy of Encroachment Exhibits "A"/Vicinity Map (8th Street between Stewart Avenue and Ogden Avenue)
2. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "B"/Vicinity Map (southeast corner of 9th Street and Stewart Avenue).

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an encroachment request from Phillip Regeski on behalf of L'Octaine Limited Partnership, owner (southeast corner of Gass Avenue and Las Vegas Boulevard) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment will consist of an approximate 15' wide strip of landscaping beginning approximately 50' east of the Las Vegas Boulevard property line and extending approximately 70' eastward along the Gass Avenue property line consisting of a sidewalk, 20' palm trees in 5' planters with a drip irrigation system to satisfy a condition of SD-49-00 for the proposed L'Octaine development. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of liability, maintenance, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map for the southeast corner of Gass Avenue and Las Vegas Boulevard.

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an encroachment request from Carter Burgess, Inc. on behalf of Desert Inn CVS, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company, owner (northeast corner of Desert Inn Road and Fort Apache Road) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The encroachment will consist of a 10' wide strip of landscape extending from Fort Apache Road for approximately 172' along the Desert Inn Road property line and a 10' wide strip of landscape extending from Desert Inn Road for approximately 180' along the Fort Apache Road property line consisting of trees, shrubs, ground cover, rocks, and an irrigation system to satisfy a condition of Z-122-87(2) for a proposed CVS Pharmacy. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of liability, maintenance, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (northeast corner of Desert Inn Road and Fort Apache Road).

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an encroachment request from Valley Health Systems, LLC, owner (southeast corner of Rose Street and Valerie Street) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The encroachment will consist of six Raywood Ash trees in 4' square planter boxes with protective grates and a drip irrigation system along Rose Street and Valerie Street covering a total of approximately 219' to satisfy City medical district standards for a proposed parking lot for Valley Medical Center. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of liability, maintenance, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (southeast corner of Rose Street and Valerie Street)

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an encroachment request from Hynds Properties, LLC, owner (northwest corner of Cheyenne Avenue and Decatur Boulevard) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The existing encroachment consist of landscaping along the Decatur Boulevard, Cheyenne Avenue and Donnie Avenue property lines consisting of grass, trees of various heights and an irrigation system to satisfy a condition of Z-79-97 for the Red Feather Shopping Center. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of liability, maintenance, and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (northeast corner of Cheyenne Avenue and Decatur Boulevard),
2. Exhibit "B"/Vicinity Map (Decatur Boulevard between Cheyenne Avenue and Donnie Avenue),
3. Exhibit "C"/Vicinity Map (southwest corner of Donnie Avenue and Decatur Boulevard).

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Landscape Architectural Services Agreement with SWA Group for landscape architectural design services for the Lewis Avenue Corridor Enhancement Project (\$175,000 - TEA-21 Enhancement Funds, City of Las Vegas Matching Funds, Regional Transportation Commission Traffic Capacity and Safety Improvements, Arts Commission Funds, Road and Flood Capital Project Funds, City of Las Vegas Street Rehabilitation Funds) - (Ward 3 - Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$175,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Multiple Funding Sources

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

With the construction of the new federal courthouse and the Regional Justice Center, the City recognized the need to link these two areas together with an enhanced pedestrian corridor. On April 4, 2001, the City Council received a presentation on the concept for this project and directed staff to move ahead. The City now desires to proceed with the final design.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Landscape Architectural Services Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Interlocal Agreement with Clark County for construction of road improvements on Tenaya Way - Tropical Parkway to Azure Drive - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of pavement, curb and gutter, sidewalk, streetlights, residential and commercial driveways, sewer mains and laterals, and water mains and laterals in connection with the City's Capital Improvement Project along Tenaya Way. Clark County will reimburse the City through the sale of bonds in conjunction with the County's SID No. 136 Tenaya Way - Tropical Parkway to Azure Drive.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council approve this Agreement.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Engineering Design Services Agreement with the Poggemeyer Design Group for the design of the Downtown Street Rehabilitation Phase I project (\$100,000 - Street Rehabilitation Fund/Sanitary Sewer Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$100,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Street Rehabilitation Fund/Sanitary Sewer Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City has identified several streets and a sewer line within the downtown area that require rehabilitation. The City further desires to increase sewer capacity within the downtown area by constructing an additional 24 inch sewer that will help service future development within the Parkway Center site.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Engineering Design Services Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Contract Modification with Las Vegas Paving to bring utility lines to Fire Station #10 from Waldman Avenue as part of the I-15 Freeway Channel project (\$65,327.90 - Capital Projects Fire & Rescue) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$65,327.90

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Fire & Rescue/Suppression

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fire & Rescue

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City's Fire Station #10 project is installing a drainage lateral on Waldman Ave. The project is bringing new utility lines from Waldman Ave. to our site. To avoid interference with the work on the I-15 Freeway Channel project, we request that funds be transferred from the Fire Station #10 project to the I-15 freeway Channel project.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Contract Modification #1

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS

R-68-2001 - Approval of a resolution authorizing reimbursement of prior expenditures from bond proceeds and calling a public hearing on the incurrence of a medium-term obligation for various sewer and flood control projects (various wards)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Clark County Regional Flood Control District has entered into an interlocal agreement with the City in which commitments were made to fund certain flood control projects within the City of Las Vegas within four years. The City is incurring medium-term obligations as interim financing for these projects. The resolution authorizes reimbursement of prior expenditures from bond proceeds. The resolution additionally calls for a public hearing on the incurrence of a medium-term obligation.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council approve this resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-68-2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-69-2001 - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Treasurer to prepare the Nineteenth Assessment Lien Apportionment Report regarding: Special Improvement District No. 505 Elkhorn Springs Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: N/A

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Levy Assessment

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Acquiring and improving a street, road, sanitary sewer, storm sewer, curb and gutter and sidewalk project in the Elkhorn Springs Area.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-69-2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-70-2001 - Approval of a Resolution approving the Nineteenth Assessment Lien Apportionment Report regarding: Special Improvement District No. 505 Elkhorn Springs Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: N/A

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Levy Assessment

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Acquiring and improving a street, road, water, sanitary sewer, storm sewer, curb and gutter and sidewalk project in the Elkhorn Springs Area.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-70-2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: LESA CODER

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-71-2001 - Approval of a Resolution authorizing the creation of City Parkway IV A, Inc., a Nevada nonprofit corporation, assigning the rights of the City of Las Vegas to certain agreements regarding Lot 4 to City Parkway IV A, Inc. and ratifying the acceptance of Lot 5-1 by City Parkway IV, Inc. - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$250

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Business Development

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Industrial Revenue Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The creation of City Parkway IV A, Inc., and assignment of rights will allow for the completion of the City's purchase and sale agreements with Union Pacific Railroad and 21st Century Financial Ventures, LLC. By ratifying the acceptance of Lot 5-1 by City Parkway IV, this will continue the process of 56 acres to be included within the gaming enterprise district.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended by staff that the City Council adopt this resolution and authorize its execution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-71-2001, including exhibits consisting of Articles of Incorporation, ByLaws and Surveyors Certificate

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmembers Mack and L.B. McDonald

Approval of authorization for staff to enter into negotiations for a Property Use Agreement with Holdings Manager, LLC, for use of approximately 1.02 acres of City leased BLM land located in the vicinity of Buffalo Drive and Deer Springs Way, known as Parcel Number 125-21-701-005 - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Real Estate

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Holdings Manager, LLC owns property to the west of the acreage on which the City has a BLM lease. When the future construction of what is commonly referred to as the Buffalo Realignment occurs, the roadway will dissect a small piece of remnant property from our lease, which connects to the property owned by Holdings. A Use Agreement will allow Holdings to landscape and use as parking space in conjunction with building the new roadway. The City would retain ownership of the improvements, but Holdings would maintain the improvements.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 5/29/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Site Maps
2. Disclosure of Principals

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmembers Mack and L.B. McDonald

Approval of a Land Purchase Agreement for the sale of approximately 0.38 acres of land, located in the vicinity of Cimarron and Westcliff Drives and commonly referred to as Parcel Number 138-28-814-001 to Lloyd M. Nelson (\$2,750 revenue + associated closing costs - Parks Capital Improvement Projects) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$2,750 revenue + closing costs

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Real Estate

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Parks C.I.P.

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On 2/7/01, Council granted approval to enter into negotiations with Mr. Nelson in response to his 1/10/01 unsolicited letter, requesting to purchase this CLV-owned parcel. CLV acquired the parcel from the County 10/98 for back taxes for apx. \$160. The parcel was formerly held for possible flood control purposes. Currently no natural drainage path exists across said parcel. Mr. Nelson desires to build a house on the property. By selling this odd-shaped piece of property, it will save CLV money on maintaining the property and improve the integrity of the neighborhood once the home is built.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 5/29/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Land Purchase Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmembers Mack and L.B. McDonald

Approval of a Lease Agreement Renewal between the City of Las Vegas and the Economic Opportunity Board of Clark County at the Las Vegas Business Center (\$32,832 revenue/36 months-Las Vegas Business Center Operations Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$32,832/36 months (income)

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Las Vegas Business Center
Operations Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Economic Opportunity Board of Clark County's Micro Business Program provides business development training and technical assistance to micro businesses. Economic Opportunity Board of Clark County's lease term is three years with three one-year options for re

RECOMMENDATION:

The 5/29/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval of the Lease Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and the Economic Opportunity Board of Clark County at the Las Vegas Business Center.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Lease Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmembers Mack and L.B. McDonald

Approval of a Lease Agreement Renewal between the City of Las Vegas and Stargate Plumbing at the Las Vegas Business Center (\$36,720 revenue/36 months-Las Vegas Business Center Operations Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$36,720/36 months (income)

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Las Vegas Business Center
Operations Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Stargate Plumbing provides contracted plumbing-related services to commercial building and renovation projects. Stargate Plumbing's lease term is three years with three one-year options for renewal.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 5/29/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval of the Lease Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Stargate Plumbing at the Las Vegas Business Center.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Lease Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS

Items 23, 29, and 45:

APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)

Item 24:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmembers Mack and L.B. McDonald

Approval of a Third Amendment to a Lease Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Michael and Beatrice Katz Family Trust RE: Manpower, Inc. to add approximately 500 square feet of office space to the existing Lease Agreement located in the Manpower Building, 314 Las Vegas Boulevard North (\$510 month - Non Departmental Expenditures) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$510 month☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/Real Estate☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Non Departmental
Expenditures/Rental of Land/Offices**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Since 1994, CLV has had a lease agreement with Manpower, Inc. for office space. In 1995 and 1997, the Lease Agreement was amended to add more space to be leased. Our rental rate is approximately \$0.83 per square foot and Manpower pays all the utilities. Due to the construction of the new Stewart Avenue Garage and walkway, we will need to relocate approximately eight (8) employees from the second floor of City Hall. This additional office space at Manpower is an ideal opportunity to be utilized to house the displaced staff members in a nearby location during this growth and construction period.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 5/29/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval of the Third Amendment to the original Lease Agreement and the need of funding to pay for the office space

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Third Amendment

MOTION:**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-22, 25-28, 30-44, and 46-69 - UNANIMOUS****Items 23, 29, and 45: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)****Item 24: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)****MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1113

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE

DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Report on the proposed 500kV transmission line from Nevada Power representatives

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This presentation will provide an informational report on the proposed 500kV transmission line.

RECOMMENDATION:

Report only; no action required.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

Submitted at the meeting: letters from Governor Kenny Guinn, Duke Energy North America, Reliant Energy, and copy of PowerPoint presentation and other documentation about the Centennial Projects

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

ALLEN HELM, Nevada Power Company, 6226 West Sahara, stated that everyone is well aware that California is suffering a true crisis. Every homeowner and business is suffering blackouts and it is affecting the entire State. Nevada Power Company is doing everything possible to make sure that does not happen in Las Vegas, and one of the projects that will ensure that is the Centennial Project. He introduced GARY PORTER, Executive Director of Regional Planning Transmission with Nevada Power Company, to expound on the subject.

MR. PORTER stated that many have said that Nevada is facing an energy crisis. The purpose of this report is to discuss the Centennial Projects, which are about delivering or creating access for Southern Nevada to affordable and clean energy supplies. Over the last year, the demand for electricity has at times exceeded the supply in the Western United States. The result of the imbalance between demand and supply has lead to blackouts in California, sharp increases in the wholesale power price, and higher costs to consumers for electricity.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001

Administrative

Item 70 – Report on the proposed 500kV transmission line from Nevada Power representatives

MINUTES – Continued:

The solution would be to restore balance by creating new capacity both in generation supply and transmission supply, and it must come from the entire community and the decision makers, not just Nevada Power. The Governor has taken the lead and has clearly indicated that adequate power supply in Nevada is very important. In order to do this, a high-efficiency network must be created in Southern Nevada with a positive political environment. Financial stability is a key component to Nevada Power's ability to raise and invest the over \$500 million that are necessary for the infrastructure. Referring to the PowerPoint, he indicated that in a survey over half of Nevada Power's customers responded that the most important component to the energy solution is infrastructure.

As Southern Nevada continues to lead the nation in growth, the electrical demand on the power system increases. It is expected that the demand will grow by 300 megawatts a year. Last summer, the peak demand in Southern Nevada was 4,300 megawatts. Only about 2,000 megawatts of that demand can be supplied by generation owned by Nevada Power; the remainder must be purchased on the wholesale power market and there must be a transmission system in place in order to access the sources of supply. Nevada Power Company must plan to meet the growth of its transmission systems. Failure to build the transmission systems in a timely fashion creates gridlock, which results in higher prices as well as the risk of blackouts.

Southern Nevada has a very unique opportunity to take advantage of; that is, Nevada Power and several new independent power producers stand ready and willing to build the necessary supply. These new plants will be state-of-the-art and environmentally friendly. On the drawing boards are proposals for over 10,000 megawatts of new power plants in Southern Nevada. By the summer of 2003, Nevada Power expects permits approved for the construction of 3,000 new megawatts of new power plants. The Las Vegas Perspective recently released information that the growth in electrical demand is exceeding the growth in population.

COUNCILMAN BROWN interjected and asked what the capacity is of the grid system. MR. PORTER answered that the grid system is capable to meet the demand for the next two- to three-year planning horizon.

MR. PORTER continued with the PowerPoint presentation and stated that the Centennial Energy projects will result in great benefits to the local customers. The new generators will shoulder the bulk of the construction costs that Nevada Power faces. Nevada Power plans to construct over 100 miles of transmission lines, as a result of the Centennial projects, to be done by the summer of 2003.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001

Administrative

Item 70 – Report on the proposed 500kV transmission line from Nevada Power representatives

MINUTES – Continued:

Nevada Power is well aware of what transmission is necessary and the exact locations of the substations, and those need to be connected from APEX to Northwest Las Vegas, from APEX to a substation located near I-15, from APEX to the Eldorado Valley, and from Stateline up to the southwest edge of the Las Vegas Valley. The routes are only conceptual. Wherever possible, Nevada Power will use corridors that have already proven suitable for transmission lines. He listed and described the following proposals for expansion throughout the Valley including their permitting status and completion dates, as outlined on the respective PowerPoint slides: Enron Expansion, Reliant/Bighorn Project, and two Harry Allen Expansions.

Finally, MR. PORTER commented that Nevada Power would commence the formal public process the following month, coupled with workshops and open house meetings at public schools. Experts will be available to individually discuss concerns with the public. The Centennial Projects website will also be made available to take information and to share available information. Nevada Power intends to invite public from all regions to the workshops rather than having individual jurisdictional public meetings. He requested the Council's support of that scheme.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD confirmed with MR. PORTER that the workshops could be made available at upcoming neighborhood meetings. He also asked if Nevada Power would be presenting the Centennial Projects proposal before the Southern Nevada Water Authority. MR. PORTER responded that Nevada Power has been working with and keeping the Southern Nevada Water Authority well informed as far as the intentions of Nevada Power. He has personally met three times with representatives from that entity. Nevada Power intends to share its plans with all the important members of the community. Additionally, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY verified with MR. PORTER that representatives could be made available to attend the neighborhood meetings that have already been scheduled and posted.

Referring to the PowerPoint slide that showed the proposed transmission lines expansion, COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD asked if they would be placed on public lands, or if significant private land would have to be acquired to be able to provide the routes, and what would be the estimated cost. MR. PORTER replied that there would be a mixture of both. Nevada Power anticipates seeking both public and private rights-of-way. As far as the cost, he could not remember the cost of land acquisitions, but indicated that the Centennial Projects will cost roughly \$300 million.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001

Administrative

Item 70 – Report on the proposed 500kV transmission line from Nevada Power representatives

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN MACK expressed his appreciation to MR. PORTER and MR. HELM for the education on the subject. He is well aware that this is going to greatly impact Ward 6, specifically with the Moccasin alignment and that the demand for the 500 kV substation is a necessity. However, he hopes that the existing right-of-way and alignment can be maintained, because many discussions and public hearings were held in order to get to that point.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked MR. HELM to expound on the experimental plan Nevada Power was intending to do at some of the senior complexes in order to conserve energy and money. MR. HELM indicated that the plan involves the old load shedding program where consumers were offered the opportunity to have a Nevada Power representative come in to their homes and install a mechanism that would allow Nevada Power Company to shut down the air conditioners at 15-minute intervals during peak hours. Nevada Power is trying to reinstate that program, but it is currently trying to do a pilot program. The concern was an inability to keep up with the requests and demands because of the current crisis. Nevada Power Company is proposing to deal with the Las Vegas Housing Authority Senior Complexes about implementing the program and perhaps an incentive of \$20 per month during the summer months for allowing Nevada Power Company the use of the air conditioners. COUNCILMAN McDONALD confirmed with MR. HELM that each person would have to consent to such a program, noting that many of the seniors need constant airflow.

COUNCILMAN BROWN asked if additional right-of-way would have to be secured for the Moccasin alignment. MR. HELM answered that the exact route has not yet been identified. But if that were the route that is defined, additional right-of-way would be required. COUNCILMAN BROWN also asked whether the capacity would have to be increased for the existing transmission lines that cut through Wards 6 and 4 and the transmission line along the Beltway alignment. MR. PORTER responded in the affirmative, stating that it would include the area between Harry Allen, APEX, and the Northwest Substation being connected with a 500 kV transmission line. MR. HELM indicated that to his understanding the Master Plan only includes a change either along Moccasin or north of Moccasin.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN urged MR. PORTER and MR. HELM to give the City advance notice if the 500 kV transmission impacts the current plan for the area so that early notice can be given to the constituents in the area, because the process of adopting the current plan was very arduous.

There was no further discussion.

(10:00 – 10:23)

1-1818

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE

DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Report and possible action concerning the status of 2001 legislative issues and direct staff as deemed necessary

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Staff will report on pending legislation of the 2001 Nevada State Legislature.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that council accept report and direct staff, if necessary.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to ACCEPT the Report as presented – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

APPEARANCES:

BETSY FRETWELL, Assistant City Manager

VIRGINIA VALENTINE, City Manager

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER FRETWELL to put together a mini workshop to update the City Council on the last-minute bills and their impact to the City of Las Vegas.

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD directed CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC to define the majority vote and its impact. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC replied that he will provide an attorney-client memo to each of the Council members so that they can opine on this issue.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001

Item 71 – Report and possible action concerning the status of 2001 legislative issues and direct staff as deemed necessary

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD stressed retention of the services of ROBERT OSTROVSKY, Ostrovsky & Associates, in order to prepare a plan of action for the 2003 Legislative Session, which she believes will be even more arduous than this one.

(10:23 – 10:46)

1-2852/2-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER**DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Discussion and possible action regarding the City's future role and involvement in the Veteran's Day Parade

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount: TBD**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The 2001 Veteran's Day Parade committee has requested increased City involvement in the Veteran's Day Parade. Current City policy provides for the waiver of up to \$5500 in fees for barricades, Metro support, and post parade clean up. This item is being presented for Council consideration at the request of the Mayor's office.

RECOMMENDATION:

Consider the request by the Parade Committee and direct staff as appropriate.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Letter from 2001 Parade Committee
2. 1993 policy on parade fees

Submitted at the meeting: Letter dated 5/30/01 addressed to Fred Pennington from County Commissioner Dario Herrera

MOTION:

REESE – Motion that the City support the Veterans Day Parade and instructing staff to look into a possible grant or a solution to cover the fees of \$5,500 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

ROBERT A. SIMPSON, Chairman, National Las Vegas Veterans Day Parade for 2001, thanked the Mayor for the chance he took last year in supporting the Veterans Day Parade. With the cooperation of the City of Las Vegas, the parade was put together in three weeks, which some opined was impossible, and it was the largest ever and different.

This year, the Veterans are preparing early for next year to make it the largest Veterans Day parade in the United States. The plan is to kick it off on Sunday and start the parade at the 11th hour on the 11th day of the 11th month, which is the same time the Armistice was signed to end World War I. In 1954, President Eisenhower changed Armistice Day to Veterans Day to honor the veterans of all wars as opposed to just one.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001

Administrative

Item 72 – Discussion and possible action regarding the City's future role and involvement in the Veteran's Day Parade

MINUTES – Continued:

The Committee intends to enlarge the scope by including floats from all the casinos and invite the nationally famous Marine Band from 29 Palms. He requested the support of the City Council and cooperation from the City of Las Vegas.

MAYOR GOODMAN indicated that last year's Veterans Day Parade was wonderful, especially with the presence of the 106-year-old veteran, whom the City Council has honored since then.

MR. SIMPSON interjected that two years ago the French Government came up with a proclamation that it would present what is considered the highest honor in France, the Legion of Honor, to any veteran who was in France during World War I. With the assistance of CONGRESSWOMAN SHELLY BERKLEY, the French Consulate will be in Los Angeles within two weeks to present WILLIE BROWN, who is the 106-year-old veteran that was at the parade, with this honor. As soon as he has the exact date and time, he will forward an invitation to the City Council.

PETER CHRISTOFF, representative of the Veterans Coalition of Southern Nevada, stated that for the past six weeks the people of Southern Nevada have been coming together with the people of Northern Nevada as well as several politicians and dignitaries who feel strongly about putting up a veterans memorial. CONGRESSWOMAN SHELLY BERKLEY has approached him because she is very much in support of the project and they discussed the potential land acquisitions for the project. He noted that it would be forthcoming in the news. He referenced a letter he submitted from COUNTY COMMISSIONER DARIO HERRERA indicating his support of partnering with the City to endorse the National Veterans Day Parade.

There was no further discussion.

(10:46 – 10:54)

2-235

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE**DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****ADMINISTRATIVE:**

Discussion and possible action to create a Las Vegas Centennial Celebration Committee and direct staff accordingly

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>

No Impact**Budget Funds Available****Augmentation Required****Amount:****Dept./Division:****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Las Vegas was founded as a city on May 15, 1905, when 110 acres of land situated between Stewart Avenue on the north, Garces Avenue to the south, Main Street to the west, and 5th Street (Las Vegas Boulevard) to the east, were auctioned off. May 15, 2005 will mark the 100-year anniversary of Las Vegas. This event will celebrate the dynamic and colorful past 100 years, as well as look forward with great hope the exciting and limitless future this city possesses

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council accept the staff report and approve the creation of the Las Vegas Centennial Celebration Committee

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Las Vegas Centennial Celebration Proposal

Submitted at meeting: PowerPoint presentation

MOTION:

GOODMAN – APPROVED as recommended directing staff to use the more expansive list in the backup documentation as a starting point for potential Las Vegas Centennial Celebration Committee members – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

Giving a PowerPoint presentation, ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER FRETWELL stated that May 15, 2005, will mark the 100th year of Las Vegas history. There has been a lot of discussion held about how to get ready for this significant milestone. One of the suggestions was to create a steering committee to coordinate, plan, and hopefully resource some of the activities for this celebration. She indicated that staff is suggesting that the committee be comprised of representatives from the citizenry, with the Council's participation. She read the list of the proposed membership. MAYOR GOODMAN interjected that he would prefer the more expansive membership list included in the backup documentation.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001

Administrative

Item 73 – Discussion and possible action to create a Las Vegas Centennial Celebration Committee and direct staff accordingly

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN BROWN felt that the State Tourism Department and the School District should be involved. He also suggested obtaining the Commission's recommendations, especially those of the Commission members that overlay with the City of Las Vegas, instead of having a Commission representative. He stressed that the committee being formed needs to have substance and a foundation to last through the year 2005. He suggested that the list in the backup should only serve as a starting point.

There was no further discussion.

(10:54 – 11:00)

2-490

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding a letter to Convenience Store Owners concerning sales of drug paraphernalia

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Letter to Convenience Store Owners

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended directing staff to broaden the scope and send a letter to all privileged license holders – **UNANIMOUS**

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

APPEARANCES:

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services

(11:00 – 11:19)

2-698

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding a moratorium on new car dealerships in Centennial Hills

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

MACK - APPROVED a 60-day moratorium, excluding any applications received for more than fifty acres with five existing new car dealerships – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining because one of the partners of his law firm had a meeting with the dealership and M. McDONALD abstaining because the attorneys representing the applicant are also his attorneys

MINUTES:

NOTE: A combined Verbatim Transcript of Item 75 [Moratorium on new car dealership in Centennial Hills] and Item 107 [SD-0076-00] is made a part of the Final Minutes under Item 75.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

APPEARANCES:

BARBARA JO RONEMUS, City Clerk

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

CAROL Le DUC, 7575 Rome Boulevard

ANTHONY SGRO, Attorney, 300 East Charleston Boulevard

MICHAEL BELLON, 7300 Stoney Shore Drive

JOHN NETZORG, 2810 West Charleston Boulevard, Suite 81

DAVID JOHNSON, Courtesy Automotive Group

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001

City Attorney

Item 75 – Moratorium on new car dealerships in Centennial Hills

MINUTES – Continued:

APPEARANCES – Continued:

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street

STEVE SWISHER, 7576 West Rome Boulevard

JOHNNY VENTURA, 601 Rain Tree Lane

STEPHEN REILLY, 7000 Steeple Court

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK directed staff to expedite the ordinance pertaining to the moratorium and have the First Reading at the 6/20/2001 City Council meeting. Additionally, he invited all interested adjacent property owners and other car dealerships to attend the meeting.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN directed staff to look at the Centennial General Plan and the Rancho Corridor for 60 days to evaluate other uses.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN directed staff that the Verbatim Transcript from the 12/1/99 City Council meeting be made part of the record.

(1:44 – 3:37)

3-1109

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Archie Alvon Gant, 539 East Saint Louis #104, Las Vegas, NV 89104

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 24, 76, 77, 78, 79, 91, and 92 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:39 – 9:41)

1-1013

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Courtney Colleen McNeal,
4185 Paradise Road, #1248, Las Vegas, Nevada 89109

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

MOTION:

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 24, 76, 77, 78, 79, 91, and 92 –
UNANIMOUS**

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:39 – 9:41)

1-1013

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Cynthia Lee Lazenby, 3919 E. Cheyenne T-50, Las Vegas, Nevada 89115

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 24, 76, 77, 78, 79, 91, and 92 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:39 – 9:41)

1-1013

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Soniya Jane Jimenez, 4249 N. Commerce Street, Apt. 2159, North Las Vegas, Nevada 89032

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 24, 76, 77, 78, 79, 91, and 92 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:39 – 9:41)

1-1013

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership & Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, From: Longhorn Enterprises, Inc., dba Golden Steer, Joseph Kludjian, Pres, Secy, Treas, 75%, To: Prime Enterprises 2001, LLC, dba Golden Steer Steak House, 308 West Sahara Ave., Michael J. Signorelli, Mgr, Mmbr, 68% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership & Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Mr. Signorelli

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MICHAEL SIGNORELLI, 5090 Breakers Lane, requested the application be expedited because the present owner of the subject business is in the hospital and Prime Enterprises 2001, LLC, would like to take over the property as soon as possible.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, reported that the applicant met all the requirements for a temporary license and recommended approval.

There was no further discussion.

(11:19 – 11:21)

2-1396

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License subject to the provisions of Health Dept. regulations, From: Albert P. Rasho, dba Star Mini Mart, Albert P. Rasho, 100%, To: KSH Enterprises, Inc., dba Allstar Minimart, 2339 North Jones Blvd., George H. Shawshani, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation to be provided at the City Council meeting following discussion of the item.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to a six-month review – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

ATTORNEY DAVE CROSBY, representing KSH Enterprises, Inc., appeared with the applicant, GEORGE H. SHAWSHANI.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, indicated that this type of item would normally appear on the consent portion of the agenda due to the fact that MR. SHAWSHANI had no area of concern in his background investigation. However, during discussions with regard to paraphernalia being used in stores for drug purposes, this store was one of the stores that were surveyed on May 14, 2001, where Chore Boys, key chain pipes, mini bags, and rose pipes were found. The store was visited at subsequent unannounced times and it was found that the owner eventually removed all of the items that the business officers had concerns about.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 81 – Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License from Star Mini Mart to Allstar Minimart

MINUTES – Continued:

ATTORNEY CROSBY indicated that he and the applicant fully understand the concerns. MR. SHAWSHANI is well aware of the attitude of the Council toward the sale of the items found to contribute to drug activity and will be happy to comply with the desires of the Council.

COUNCILMAN MACK stated that it is evident that the sale of drug paraphernalia at convenience stores is a serious issue that is reaching all Wards. Therefore, he opted for a six-month review and cautioned MR. SHAWSHANI that he would not tolerate the sale of the items mentioned by MR. DiFIORE in Ward 6.

There was no further discussion.

(11:21 – 11:24)

2-1454

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action to prioritize projects being considered for funding in the General Government and Culture & Recreation Capital Project Funds

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: To Be Determined

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Budget & Finance

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Project Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approximately 75 percent of the City's Capital Project Fund is dedicated to non-discretionary project areas such as road and flood facilities, traffic signals, fire service facilities, detention facilities, and special improvement districts. The remaining areas of General Government and Parks & Recreation facilities require prioritization by the City Council. The prioritization process will consider analysis of the related annual operating and maintenance costs that must be funded in the General Fund when project construction is completed. A listing of current and proposed projects that require one-time capital and/or annual operating funding will be provided at the meeting for discussion purposes.

RECOMMENDATION:

Seek Council direction

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to recess to 6/25/01, TIME CERTAIN 10:00 A.M., 8th Floor Conference Room – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the final minutes.

APPEARANCES:

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance & Business Services

RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

NOTE: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY directed RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department, to set up a meeting prior to the 6/25/2001 recessed meeting with LARRY HAUGSNESS, Director of Field Operations; JOHN McNELLIS, Deputy Director of Public

CITY COUNCIL OF JUNE 6, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 82 – Funding in the General Government and Culture & Recreation Capital Project Funds

MINUTES – Continued:

Works; DR. BARBARA JACKSON, Director of Leisure Services; and SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director of Neighborhood Services, as to the status of James Gay Park as it pertains to its rehabilitation. He would like to include in that discussion the direction the City is taking regarding Ed Fountain Park and the Doolittle Community Center, as well as how these projects fit into the priority list. If not, how can they get on that list and gain the Board's support, as far as seeing these projects through.

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD indicated that the Veterans Memorial Leisure Service pool should be placed in the grouping of current projects in need of additional funding. She indicated that, as per information from a School District trustee who represents the area, funds have been set aside that must be utilized before 6/30/2001 otherwise they will revert back into the School District's general operating budget. According to the school trustee \$1.35 million has been earmarked by the School District through a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the Clark County School District and the City. MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services, replied that it is not clear whether there is a committed MOU with Clark County School District, but there is correspondence from the Facilities Division at Clark County School District. However, staff is currently trying to research whether the \$1.35 million is actually committed and that it would not be a problem moving this project into the current project list.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN directed that backup be consistent and provide information regarding what is funded and prioritization with funding components clearly spelled out.

(1:09 – 1:28)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: LEISURE SERVICES

DIRECTOR: DR. BARBARA P. JACKSON ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on naming a park at Alexander and Campbell - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On May 9, 2001, at the monthly Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission meeting, the park naming sub-committee reported the recommendation of Garehime Heights Park for the park located at Alexander and Campbell. The vote was unanimous to recommend the name to City Council

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends City Council approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

BROWN - APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

DR. BARBARA JACKSON, Director, Leisure Services Department, commented that Leisure Services staff received input from citizens of the area. A park project building exercise was held with the students who attend Garehime Elementary School, which is adjacent to the park site. After that input the Park and Recreation Board recommended that the park be named Garehime Heights Park.

There was no further discussion.

(11:24 – 11:26)

2-1623

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Policies for Projects of Regional Significance as proposed by the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition (SNRPC)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Assembly Bill 493, which required the creation of the Southern Nevada Regional Policy Plan, also required the SNRPC to establish a definition for the term "project of regional significance". The enabling legislation requires the term "project of regional significance" to be defined by July 1, 2001 and approved by the SNRPC. As a result, the planning consultant has prepared a report which establishes this definition, and sets policies for reviewing such projects.

The report defines projects of regional significance to apply to certain site-specific projects located in proximity to adjoining jurisdiction and to regional infrastructure projects not under the purview of existing regional planning authorities. It also establishes a way in which concerns of neighboring communities or the SNRPC can be provided and considered.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

SNRPC Policies for Projects of Regional Significance

MOTION:

REESE - APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department, stated that the City Council recently adopted the Southern Nevada Regional Policy Plan, which was the first step in the process. In 1999, the Legislature, through AB 493, required the creation of the Southern Nevada Regional Policy Plan and that the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition (SNRPC) establish a definition for the term "project of regional significance." The deadline that was imposed for adoption of that part of the process is scheduled for July 1, 2001.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001

Planning and Development

Item 84 – Discussion and possible action regarding Policies for Projects of Regional Significance as proposed by the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition

MINUTES – Continued:

He introduced GREG DALE, Consultant, Clarion Associates, who would be making a short presentation on the definitions that have been established through meetings with the various planning directors within the entities of this Valley.

MR. DALE reported that the staff of Clarion Associates has worked very hard over the past several months with the various planning staffs of the various communities, as well as with management staff. At the previous meeting of the SNRPC proposed definitions were submitted on “projects of regional significance” and a process for addressing those projects. In preparing those definitions and the way in which the projects would be handled, the same principals used in guiding the development of the Regional Police Plan were used; in particular, the importance of preserving the local autonomy.

Two different kinds of projects of regional significance were identified: a site-specific development project and a regional infrastructure project. The site-specific project has to do with meeting threshold criteria essentially for the size of the project, but is also tied to proximity to adjacent jurisdictions. A focus is being placed on improving communication and coordination as it relates to projects that are located at or near boundaries of two jurisdictions. The process that was designed is a notice and comment process, which will in no way affect the ability of the City of Las Vegas to make its own decisions about site-specific development projects. The process is simply to advise adjoining jurisdictions when the special criteria are met, allowing them an opportunity to comment on the project and to make suggestions on how any negative impacts of the project might be mitigated. The jurisdiction in which the project is located is then required to consider those suggestions and make findings as to how those impacts might be mitigated. Site-specific projects are matters that will be entirely between the adjoining jurisdictions, and the SNRPC will not get involved in those instances. The SNRPC will only serve as a problem-solving mechanism on regional infrastructure projects.

COUNCILMAN BROWN noted that MR. DALE and his group were hired two years ago after the State told Southern Nevada to manage its growth or the State would manage it. The State put the local jurisdictions on severe deadlines. He commended MR. DALE for his expeditious work, especially in defining regional projects.

MAYOR GOODMAN noted that this was the first time he worked with a consultant and he was very impressed with the work of MR. DALE and his group.

MR. DALE expressed his appreciation to the Council for its cooperation, which made his job easier.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001

Planning and Development

Item 84 – Discussion and possible action regarding Policies for Projects of Regional Significance as proposed by the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

(11:26 – 11:33)

2-1663

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARDS & COMMISSIONS**

TRAFFIC & PARKING COMMISSION – Robert White, Term Expiration 6-21-2001

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The term of appointment for Mr. Robert White will expire June 21, 2001. Ordinance #5258 enacted October 4, 2000 increased the public membership on this commission to seven, one member residing in and representing each of the six Wards and one member representing the City as a whole. Mr. White represents Ward 1 and as such, this seat requires Councilman Michael McDonald's recommendation. Mr. White is eligible for reappointment as there are no limits to the number of terms which may be served.

RECOMMENDATION:

Procedure for this Board requires appointment by the Mayor with confirmation by the City Council. This appointee must be a resident of Ward 1 and Councilman Michael McDonald is eligible to make the recommendation. Options are to reappoint Mr. White or appoint a new Ward 1 member to fill this seat.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Current Listing & Authority - Traffic & Parking Commission
2. Department Director Memorandum - Mr. White's Attendance Record and Interest

MOTION:

GOODMAN – Motion to REAPPOINT Robert White (McDonald's recommendation) - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:33 – 11:34)
2-1936

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO RONE MUS

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:

CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON DOWNTOWN DEVELOPMENT - Discussion and possible action to dissolve the Citizens Advisory Committee on Downtown Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In 1999, the City Centre Development Corp. (CCDC) was formed to oversee the Redevelopment activities for the City of Las Vegas Redevelopment Agency. Since the formation of the CCDC, the Citizens Advisory Committee on Downtown Development has been inactive. As the responsibilities of CCDC include all advisory aspects of Redevelopment, including project review, it is the recommendation of staff that this committee be dissolved.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is the recommendation of the Office of Business Development that the Citizens Advisory Committee on Downtown Development be dissolved.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

LESA CODER, Director, Office of Business Development, indicated that since the subject committee has not met in quite some time and in an effort to avoid duplication, staff is recommending that the committee be dissolved. Any questions or concerns can be deferred to the City Centre Development Corporation.

There was no further discussion.

(11:34 – 11:35)

2-1961

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-43 – Annexation No. A-0030-98(A) – Property Location: Between Grand Teton Drive and Gilcrease Avenue, approximately 660 feet east of Hualapai Way; Petitioned By: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: Approximately 20.54 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property located between Grand Teton Drive and Gilcrease Avenue, approximately 660 feet east of Hualapai Way. The annexation is at the request of the City, with the concurrence of the Bureau of Land Management as owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (June 15, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 6/6/2001 City Council meeting as pursuant to the 5/14/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 5/2/2001; First Publication – 5/25/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-43 and Location Map

MOTION:

MACK – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5326 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:35)

2-2005

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-44 – Annexation No. A-0013-99(A) – Property Location: On the northwest corner of Gowan Road and the Western Beltway; Petitioned By: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: Approximately 10.34 acres; Zoned: R-U (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property located on the northwest corner of Gowan Road and the Western Beltway. The annexation is at the request of the City, with the concurrence of the Bureau of Land Management as owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (June 15, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 6/6/2001 City Council meeting as pursuant to the 5/14/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 5/2/2001; First Publication – 5/25/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-44 and Location Map

MOTION:

MACK – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5327 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:35 – 11:36)

2-2033

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-45 – Annexation No. A-0005-00(A) – Property Location: On the northeast corner of Cheyenne Avenue and Fort Apache Road; Petitioned By: Fort Apache Convenience Limited Liability Company; Acreage: 2.67 acres; Zoned: C-2 (County Zoning), C-1 (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property located on the northeast corner of Cheyenne Avenue and Fort Apache Road. The annexation is at the request of the owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (June 15, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 6/6/2001 City Council meeting as pursuant to the 5/14/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 5/2/2001; First Publication – 5/25/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-45 and Location Map

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and **BILL ADOPTED** as recommended as Ordinance No. 5328 – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** not voting because his brother-in-law owns property in the area

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:36 – 11:37)

2-2059

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-46 – Expands the boundaries of the Downtown Casino Overlay District and allows the waiver of certain distance requirements within that District. Sponsored by: Mayor Oscar B. Goodman and Councilman Lawrence Weekly

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Downtown Casino Overlay District currently serves to mark the boundaries within which certain casino-related uses may operate outside the usual zoning requirements. This bill would expand the District to include an additional block to the east, as well as the UP Site to the west and southwest. Additionally, the bill would relax within the expanded District the normal distance requirements applicable to taverns. This will allow entertainment locations to be grouped together where appropriate, thereby encouraging redevelopment and revitalization of the area. The City Council will continue to have the discretion to approve or deny an application at any particular location.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 6/6/2001 City Council meeting as pursuant to the 5/14/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 5/2/2001; First Publication – 5/25/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Bill No. 2001-46 (including incorporated map)
2. Additional Map Illustrating Expansion

MOTION:

MACK – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5329 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:35)

2-2005

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-49 – Requires persons who work as escorts to obtain a health card and to undergo initial and periodic medical examinations. Sponsored by: Mayor Oscar B. Goodman

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will require persons who work as escorts to obtain a health card and to undergo initial and periodic medical examinations.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 5/29/2001 Recommending Committee forwarded this item to full Council for discussion.

Committee: Councilmembers Mack and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 5/16/2001; First Publication – 5/25/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-49

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 24, 76, 77, 78, 79, 91, and 92 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:39 – 9:41)

1-1013

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-50 – Requires persons who work as outcall entertainers to obtain a health card and to undergo initial and periodic medical examinations. Sponsored by: Mayor Oscar B. Goodman

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will require persons who work as outcall entertainers to obtain a health card and to undergo initial and periodic medical examinations.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 5/29/2001 Recommending Committee forwarded this item to full Council for discussion.

Committee: Councilmembers Mack and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 5/16/2001; First Publication – 5/25/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-50

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 24, 76, 77, 78, 79, 91, and 92 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:39 – 9:41)

1-1013

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-47 – Annexation No. A-0003-01(A) – Property Location: On the east side of Juliano Road approximately 330 feet south of Hickam Avenue; Petitioned By: Paul and Yvonne Milko Revocable Living Trust; Acreage: 2.59 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning) U (DR) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property located on the east side of Juliano Road approximately 330 feet south of Hickam Avenue. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (June 29, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 6/20/2001 City Council meeting as pursuant to the 5/29/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Mack and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 5/16/2001; First Publication – 6/9/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

6/20/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-48 – Annexation No. A-0007-01(A) – Property Location: On the west side of Mustang Street approximately 300 feet north of Cheyenne Avenue; Petitioned By: Karl and Susan Larsen Family Trust; Acreage: 0.64 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property located on the west side of Mustang Street approximately 300 feet north of Cheyenne Avenue. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (June 29, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 6/20/2001 City Council meeting as pursuant to the 5/29/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Mack and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 5/16/2001; First Publication – 6/9/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

6/20/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-51 – Amends the City's Uniform Administrative Code to increase the construction valuation basis for building permit fees. Proposed by: Paul Wilkins, Director of Building and Safety

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: *

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will amend the City's Uniform Administrative Code to increase the construction valuation basis for building permit fees in order to more accurately reflect the actual costs of construction. The new basis will be more in line with that of other area jurisdictions. Input regarding the increase has been solicited from representatives of homebuilders, contractors and taxpayer groups. Based upon the input received, no formal business impact statement has been deemed necessary. The bill also makes other minor technical changes.

* Approximate 25% increase in permit fee revenue.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 6/20/2001 City Council meeting as pursuant to the 5/29/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmembers Mack and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 5/16/2001; First Publication – 6/9/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

6/20/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-52 – Establishes a list of uses that may be permitted in the C-V Zoning District by means of special use permit. Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The C-V (Civic) Zoning District allows certain traditional governmental uses as a matter of right. However, the Zoning Code contains no specific treatment of uses allowable in that district by means of special use permit—as was the case with the previous zoning regulations in Title 19. This bill will amend the Zoning Code to list the uses that may be permitted in the C-V District by means of special use permit.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-52

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

6/18/2001 Recommending Committee

6/20/2001 Council Agenda

There was no discussion.

(11:37 – 11:39)

2-2129

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-53 – Adopts the Population Element of the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan.

Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Last September the City Council adopted the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan. At the time of that adoption, the element related to population was not complete, so the population element of the previous plan was retained in effect until an updated version could be adopted. This bill will adopt the updated population element and incorporate it into the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-53 and incorporated Population Element of the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

6/18/2001 Recommending Committee

6/20/2001 Council Agenda

There was no discussion.

(11:37 – 11:39)

2-2129

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-54 – Establishes an expedited procedure for the use of vehicle immobilizing devices under certain circumstances. Proposed by: Michael Sheldon, Director of Detention and Enforcement

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: *

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Presently, the immobilization or "booting" of vehicles in connection with parking violations requires court action. Making the process available administratively will help collect unpaid parking fines and discourage parking violations. This bill proposes to allow the booting of a vehicle without court action, where the owner of the vehicle has accumulated more than \$500 in unpaid fines or has at least 5 outstanding infractions.

* Undetermined increase in enforcement costs, to be offset by increased collections.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-54

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

6/18/2001 Recommending Committee

6/20/2001 Council Agenda

There was no discussion.

(11:37 – 11:39)

2-2129

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-55 – Bond ordinance (Ordinance No. 5293 - Levies assessments originally adopted on February 7, 2001) is being amended to change the final payment date for Special Improvement District No. 808 (Summerlin Area) assessments after partial pre-payments from April 2011 to April 2021 - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:** \$46,000,000☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works / Finance☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Assessment Liens**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Special Improvement District No. 808 (Summerlin Area) Local Improvement Bonds, Series 2001, in the amount of \$46,000,000 are being issued by the City pursuant to the Nevada Consolidated Local Improvement Law (NRS 271) in order to finance the acquisition of certain public improvements for property located in the City's Special Improvement District No. 808 pursuant to the Development and Financing Agreement between the developer (Howard Hughes Corporation) and the City. The bonds do not constitute a debt of the City.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a recommending committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-55

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

6/18/2001 Recommending Committee

6/20/2001 Council Agenda

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001

New Bills

Item 99 – Bill No. 2001-55

MINUTES – Continued:

CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC noted that this is an assessment ordinance not a bond ordinance, as erroneously indicated.

There was no further discussion.

(11:37 – 11:39)

2-2129

THE MORNING SESSION RECESSED AT 11:39 A.M.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

Any items from the afternoon session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 132 [GPA-0030-00] and Item 133 [Z-0096-00] to 6/20/01, Item 109 [SD-0012-01], Item 111 [Z-0016-98(4)], Item 112 [GPA-0011-01], Item 113 [Z-0025-01], Item 116 [U-0296-94(2)], Item 117 [U-0271-93(2)], Item 118 [U-0034-01], Item 126 [Z-0106-00], Item 127 [Z-0106-00(1)] to 7/5/01, Item 130 [GPA-0036-00] and Item 131 [Z-0112-00] to 9/5/01, and STRIKE Item 128 [Z-0115-00] - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN McDONALD clarified that Item 130 [GPA-0036-00] and Item 131 [Z-0112-00] are to be held in abeyance to 9/5/01 City Council meeting.

ROBERT GRONAUER, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of Astoria Homes and requested to amend the abeyance request from 6/20/01 to 7/5/01 for Item 109 [SD-0012-01].

There was no further discussion.

(1:09 – 1:28)

3-602

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT PM SESSION INDEX:

CONSENT AGENDA

EXTENSION OF TIME - REZONING

101 Z-0001-99(2) - Becker Trust Company, Las Vegas Dunes, Inc. and Hugo R. Paulson

102 Z-0009-99(2) - Las Vegas Dunes, Inc.

DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS

NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M.:

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING

103 GPA-0013-01 - Grand Teton & El Capitan, Limited Liability Companies

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0013-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

104 Z-0023-01 - Grand Teton & El Capitan, Limited Liability Companies

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT RELATED TO GPA-0013-01 AND Z-0023-01 -
PUBLIC HEARING

105 GPA-0014-01 - Grand Teton & El Capitan, Limited Liability Companies

REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING

106 U-0147-99(1) - One Body in Christ Church

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING

107 ABEYANCE ITEM - SD-0076-00 - Jae Sun Kim on behalf of John Staluppi

108 Z-0110-97(1) - Becker Realty, Inc.

109 SD-0012-01 - Astoria Iron Mountain, Limited Liability Company

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING

110 VAC-0006-01 - Corey and Sally Morley, and William and Barbara Pipes

MAJOR MODIFICATION - IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH RESIDENTIAL PLANNED
DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING

111 Z-0016-98(4) - William Lyon Homes

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Two

INDEX

City Council Meeting of June 6, 2001

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT RELATED TO Z-0016-98(4) - PUBLIC HEARING
112 GPA-0011-01 - William Lyon Homes

REZONING RELATED TO Z-0016-98(4) AND GPA-0011-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
113 Z-0025-01 - William Lyon Homes

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING
114 ABEYANCE ITEM - V-0009-01 - Martin & Phyllis Schwartz Revocable Family Trust on behalf of Charleston Rancho Plaza
115 ABEYANCE ITEM - V-0010-01 - 5 Star Properties-Charleston & Rancho on behalf of University Medical Center of Southern Nevada

REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
116 ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0296-94(2) - K & J Partnership on behalf of Eller Outdoor Advertising

REQUIRED TWO YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
117 ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0271-93(2) - Szechwan Corporation on behalf of Lamar Outdoor Advertising Company

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
118 ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0034-01 - T.J.P. Nevada, Limited Partnership on behalf of Seiler, Inc.
119 U-0182-00 - Craig Marketplace, Limited Liability Company
120 U-0035-01 - Mission Springs Properties on behalf of Cingular Wireless
121 U-0043-01 - Leonard & Elizabeth Carpi Trust on behalf of Marcelina Lamoureux
122 U-0044-01 - Foster-Day, Inc.

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO U-0044-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
123 SD-0020-01 - Foster-Day, Inc.

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
124 U-0046-01 - Vincent Ebarb
125 U-0047-01 - Larry Lapenta on behalf of David Walsh

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Three

INDEX

City Council Meeting of June 6, 2001

- REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
126 **ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0106-00** - Michelas, Limited Liability Company
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0106-00 - PUBLIC HEARING
127 **ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0106-00(1)** - Michelas, Limited Liability Company
- REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
128 **ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0115-00** - Joseph & Sally Warpinski on behalf of Nevada Landscape Corporation
129 **Z-0021-01** - Dorrell Frontage, Limited Liability Company
- GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
130 **ABEYANCE ITEM - GPA-0036-00** - Sahara Westlake Associates
- REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0036-00 - PUBLIC HEARING
131 **ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0112-00** - Sahara Westlake Associates
- GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
132 **GPA-0030-00** - Howard Hughes Corporation
- REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0030-00 - PUBLIC HEARING
133 **Z-0096-00** - Howard Hughes Corporation
- GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
134 **GPA-0007-01** - City of Las Vegas
- REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0007-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
135 **Z-0016-01** - City of Las Vegas
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0007-01 AND Z-0016-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
136 **Z-0016-01(1)** - City of Las Vegas
- GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
137 **GPA-0008-01** - Becker Realty, Inc.
- REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0008-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
138 **Z-0018-01** - Becker Realty, Inc.

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Four

INDEX

City Council Meeting of June 6, 2001

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 139** **GPA-0010-01** - American Baptist Churches of the Pacific Southwest on behalf of Fellowship Christian Church

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0010-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 140** **Z-0020-01** - American Baptist Churches of the Pacific Southwest on behalf of Fellowship Christian Church

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

EXTENSION OF TIME - Z-0001-99(2) - BECKER TRUST COMPANY, LAS VEGAS DUNES, INC. AND HUGO R. PAULSON - Request for an Extension of Time on an approved Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units Per Acre) of 189.2 acres on the east and west sides of the Durango Drive alignment, between the Moccasin Road and Log Cabin Way alignments (APN: 125-04-001-001, 002, 003, 005, 006, 007, and 125-05-604-014), PROPOSED USE: 470-LOT SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

NOTE: THIS ITEM IS CONSIDERED TO BE ROUTINE IN NATURE.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE - APPROVED Consent Item 101 [Z-0001-99(2)] and Item 102 [Z-0009-99(2)] subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:34 – 1:37)

3-793

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 101 – Z-0001-99(2)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A two-year time limit from the date of City Council approval.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.
3. Conformance to all applicable Conditions of Approval of Z-0001-99, Z-0001-99(1) and all subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

EXTENSION OF TIME - **Z-0009-99(2) - LAS VEGAS DUNES, INC.** - Request for an Extension of Time on an approved Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units Per Acre) for 40.0 acres adjacent to the north side of the Log Cabin Way alignment, approximately 2,700 feet east of Durango Drive (APN: 125-04-001-008), PROPOSED USE: 112-LOT SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

NOTE: THIS ITEM IS CONSIDERED TO BE ROUTINE IN NATURE.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE - APPROVED Consent Item 101 [Z-0001-99(2)] and Item 102 [Z-0009-99(2)] subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:34 – 1:37)

3-793

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 102 – Z-0009-99(2)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A two-year time limit from the date of City Council approval.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.
3. Conformance to all applicable Conditions of Approval of Z-0009-99, Z-0009-99(1), and all subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M.: GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0013-01 - GRAND TETON & EL CAPITAN, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES** - Request to Amend a portion of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan FROM: PCD (Planned Community Development) TO: MLA (Medium-Low Attached) on approximately 17.2 acres on the northwest corner of the intersection of El Capitan Way and Grand Teton Drive (APN: 125-08-401-003), Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission was unable to obtain a super-majority vote (3-3), therefore, this item will be forwarded to the City Council with a recommendation of DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	512
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission was unable to obtain a super-majority vote (3-3), therefore, this item will be forwarded to the City Council with a recommendation of DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Louise Ruskamp's comments
5. Submitted at meeting: Large map depicting zoning classifications and a color-coded map representing the different neighborhoods in the surrounding areas
6. Submitted at meeting: Photographs showing heavy traffic
7. Submitted at meeting: Petition with 700 signatures opposing the proposed project

MOTION:

MACK – ABEYANCE to 7/5/01 not to be heard before 4:00 P.M. – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 103 – GPA-0013-01

MINUTES – Continued:

GREG BORGEL, 300 South Fourth Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant and clarified that the project is not an apartment or rental complex. No more than one of the units would be sold to any single party. The proposed project is a for-sale condominium townhouse development in the midst of single-family detached homes and would benefit the surrounding neighborhood. These types of developments tend to attract empty nesters and small families. Many of the residents who received the notice believe that this was an apartment that would create problems in the neighborhood.

MR. BORGEL addressed the zone change. The project conforms to the City's General Plan, the proposed development would be compatible with the immediate residential neighborhood and it is an appropriate land use and density adjacent to the C-2 General Commercial zoning on the west. The overall parcel abuts Tonopah Highway, as well as Grand Teton Drive. The frontage along the Tonopah Highway is hard zone C-2, allowing the most intense form of commercial development. Planning staff points out that the development of RPD-12 is at least compatible with the possibility of intense commercial development to the west and functions as a buffer in an east/west direction. This is an infill parcel, medium-low attached density and has adequate access by utilizing Grand Teton Drive, which is proposed to be developed as a primary road and a freeway overpass. Additionally, El Capitan is proposed to be developed as an 80-foot wide secondary arterial. The applicant has met the City's standard requirements and this project merits the City Council's consideration for approval.

Finally, MR. BORGEL stated that this parcel is a very awkward piece to develop because it does not lay out in a manner that could accommodate anything other than this condominium townhouse attached product. There is a major storm drain crossing the property from west to east, which cannot be constructed over. Secondly, Grand Teton Drive will become an overpass further driving the design that does not submit itself well to a normal subdivision layout. Lastly, pursuant to the General Plan Amendment that calls for the location of the trails system, the trail is being relocated on the north side of the property limiting the ability to use other designs, other than this condominium townhouse design. However, since there are homes to the north across Ackerman Avenue, locating the 35-foot wide trail and additional landscaping at this location provides an adequate buffer to those residents and prevents traffic from this proposed development onto Ackerman Avenue. The project is adequately buffered in the south because of Grand Teton Drive and it would function as a buffer between the C-2 to the west and the lower density residential to the east. The project is designed with many amenities, swimming pool, etc. MR. BORGEL concurred with staff's conditions.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 103 – GPA-0013-01

MINUTES – Continued:

LOUISE RUSKAMP, 8500 Log Cabin Way, appeared on behalf of the northwest residents and opposed the proposed project. She read an outline of her concerns and comments, which have been made a part of the final minutes. Additionally, she submitted a color-coded map representing the different neighborhoods in the surrounding areas and a map depicting zoning classifications.

TODD FARLOW, 240 South 19th Street, discussed with ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning & Development Department, that staff agrees to the way the trails have been realigned.

TIM O'NEILL, 8905 Colorful Pines Avenue, President of the Pines Meadows Community, directly south of the proposed project. MR. O'NEILL stated that the construction of the Grand Teton Bridge would force the elimination of the current frontage road system allowing for limited access to the C-2 zoning from the south. The multi-use trail system is in the center of this C-2 parcel, thus cutting a 30-foot deep by 50-foot wide sloped trench to the middle of this parcel. Recently, Public Works decided to make Horse Drive an interchange on US95, thus causing more land to be taken from the C-2 parcels that align US95 to give access for the entrance and exit ramps. This would change the outlook of the entire area. The loss of frontage roads would take away the access to the C-2 parcels. The use of Ackerman Avenue is not feasible with houses facing Ackerman Avenue and a multi-use trail on the other side. Additionally, there would be commercial truck traffic through the residential area.

MR. O'NEILL submitted pictures showing the already intense traffic. The intent was to have Durango be the main north/south route as a 120-foot roadway to enhance the traffic flow. However, El Capitan Way, an 80-foot roadway is handling the north/south traffic flow. The intersection of Grand Teton Drive and El Capitan Way is already overwhelmed. With the proposed 213 units, there would be an additional 200 vehicles trying to use one entrance causing the intersection and the overpass to become even more dangerous as the density increases at this intersection. He pointed out that 117 people attended the association meeting and they were all in opposition to the proposed project.

GINGER MAXWELL, 9024 Ackerman Avenue, stated that she lives 30 feet off of Ackerman Avenue and is concerned about her children's safety. She submitted a petition with 700 signatures opposing the proposed project.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 103 – GPA-0013-01

MINUTES – Continued:

SCOTT MAXWELL, 9024 Ackerman Avenue, indicated that Ackerman Avenue is located in the Master Planned community of Spring Mountain Ranch and his home is one of the 27 houses that directly face the property. He envisioned this as being a safe neighborhood to raise his children with only single-family homes. He believes that the proposed project is too dense. An appropriate location would be land to the northwest already zoned for this type of project. He asked that the applicant build nothing but single-family homes.

STEPHEN REILLY, 7000 Steeple Court, stated that he lives in Elkhorn Springs zoned as R-PD6. Many of the residents in this area do not want this type of project. He would prefer to have the project gated. His concern is that the garages would be too small, therefore, causing a parking situation, which already exists. He considers this project a boil on the skin of Centennial Hills.

ASHLEY MOLL, 8825 Tumblewood Avenue, stated that she is adamantly opposed to the proposed project. It was not clearly represented to the residents on the type of development. The residents would like to see something developed at this location at six units per acre.

DICK WEBSTER, 9020 Ackerman Avenue, addressed the trail and his concern about placing the trail along Ackerman Avenue. It might be dangerous for the horses.

LLOYD BAKER, 8640 Honey Vine Avenue, stated that there is not a need for this type of project. The applicant could design the drainage as a trail and build homes abutting the trail. He asked that the Council deny the request.

MR. BORGEL responded to the issues brought forth by the residents. Regarding the parking, the units would provide two-car garages, larger than those found in the homes north and south of the project. Additionally, because they are in a planned environment, there will be guest and street parking properly designed to accommodate things that really are not usually taken care in a single-family detached subdivision. In fact, staff looked at the traffic and found no issue with this amount of development because of the abutting collector and arterial streets. The drainage is not designed to be an open channel. The City has had experience with open channels and that has not worked well. The developer is proposing to box culvert this channel for safety and aesthetic reasons, and it is an expensive operation.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 103 – GPA-0013-01

MINUTES – Continued:

As far as these types of townhouse/condominium projects impacting the schools, they do not attract the large families that overfill the schools. There was an illusion that this project is somehow incompatible because it is not the same as the neighboring development to the north and the south. However, compatibility is not sameness. Communities are the same land. People have different lifestyles. Compatibility means that it is designed and has a general character that is compatible with the neighborhood. It will be tile roof, stucco, limited number of building units, even though there would be more than one unit in the building because that is the way townhouses are designed. The price range for this product is comparable to that in the area and there is a need and a demand for such a product. Lastly, this product is compatible with the existing zoning classifications.

COUNCILMAN MACK stated that he is aware of his constituents' concerns. He believes that this parcel is unique and is being affected by the Grand Teton Bridge and the drainage channel. He is concerned about the 700 signatures, e-mails and faxes adamantly opposing the proposed project. Therefore, he does not feel comfortable moving forward at this time. However, he believes that this type of product is needed in this particular area. Certain people choose to live in condominiums or townhouses with less maintenance. Additionally, the adjacent C-2 parcel, which is not part of this application, will be impacted not only by the Grand Teton Bridge, but also by the type of development proposed for that parcel. The initial conversation began when the applicant told him that a Hampton Inn would go in there, something that the residents do not want. Therefore, after many discussions this is what the applicant finally proposed. COUNCILMAN MACK outlined some of the permitted uses that could go in C-2: animal shelters, motels, special care facilities, amusement parks, night clubs, taverns, lumber yards, research lab, auto repair, cold storage plants, assembly fabrication, and wholesale showroom. He understands that there is an access problem with C-2 and many of these uses would not function.

COUNCILMAN MACK mentioned that at a meeting held at the Spring Mountain Ranch, concerns were expressed regarding the Durango Drive and El Capitan intersection and the Durango Drive access into US95. He congratulated CHARLIE KAJKOWSKI, Public Works, for his efforts, together with TOM STEVENS, Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT), in opening Grand Teton Drive and Horse Drive at Craig Road during the construction of Durango Drive to alleviate some of the traffic from Spring Mountain Ranch and from the adjacent neighborhoods in or leading into Elkhorn Springs.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 103 – GPA-0013-01

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN MACK stated that he discussed with the applicant the probability of down zoning this parcel to C-1, which would be compatible with the neighborhood. Additionally, discussions were held regarding a mini-storage that would adhere to the drainage. A mini-storage would be compatible because the 30 to 40 cars a day into the mini storage would have less of an effect on the residents of Ackerman Avenue. Discussions were held with the applicant regarding opening up the backside of the proposed parcel so that the residents on Ackerman Avenue would have some sense of community. However, this did not seem to work with the applicant's plans. The trails were moved to Ackerman Avenue to give a buffering of 35 feet to the residents on Ackerman Avenue, and Grand Teton Drive at full build-out will give buffering to the Pine Meadow residents.

COUNCILMAN MACK requested that the item be held until the 7/5/01 Council meeting in order to have a neighborhood meeting to show elevations to the residents and give the applicant, as well as himself and the neighbors, the opportunity to clear up any misinformation and look for possible solutions. Additionally, to address many of the issues that were referred to at this meeting, he invited all the residents in the community to attend the meeting and perhaps reach an understanding where everyone is a winner. He urged those people in the audience who would like to be included to give their names and telephone numbers to his liaison. He is concerned about future zoning matters, but feels strongly that this type of product is needed in this community and in the northwest.

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning & Development Department, verified with MAYOR GOODMAN and COUNCILMAN MACK that the item would be agendaed to 7/5/01, not to be heard before 4:00 P.M. MAYOR GOODMAN promised the residents present that their comments would be taken into consideration.

MS. MAXWELL asked whether notices would be sent out regarding the abeyance. COUNCILMAN MACK replied that postcards would be sent to the residents and that the notification area would be expanded.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: Item 103 [GPA-0013-01] is related to Item 104 [Z-0023-01] and Item 105 [GPA-0014-01] and all related discussion took place under Item 103.

(4:48 – 5:40)

5-1320/6-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M.: REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0013-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0023-01 - GRAND TETON & EL CAPITAN, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: R-PD12 (Residential Planned Development – 12 Units Per Acre) of approximately 17.20 acres located on the northwest corner of the intersection of El Capitan Way and Grand Teton Drive (APN: 125-08-401-003), PROPOSED USE: MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION, Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission vote resulted in a tie (3-3) which is tantamount to DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	512
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission vote resulted in a tie (3-3) which is tantamount to DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – ABEYANCE to 7/5/01 not to be heard before 4:00 P.M. – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

GREG BORGEL, 300 South Fourth Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 104 – Z-0023-01

MINUTES - Continued:

NOTE: See Item 103 [GPA-0013-01] for related discussion pertaining to Item 104 [Z-0023-01] and Item 105 [GPA-0014-01].

(4:48 – 5:40)

5-1320/6-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M.: GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT RELATED TO GPA-0013-01 AND Z-0023-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0014-01 - GRAND TETON & EL CAPITAN, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES** - Request to Amend Map 7 of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan to RELOCATE A PROPOSED MULTI-USE TRAIL ALIGNMENT within an approximately 22.95 acre area bounded by El Capitan Way on the east, Ackerman Avenue on the north, Grand Teton Drive on the south, and the US 95 Frontage Road on the west (APN: 125-08-401-003), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	512
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – ABEYANCE to 7/5/01 not to be heard before 4:00 P.M. – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

GREG BORGEL, 300 South Fourth Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 105 – GPA-0014-01

MINUTES - Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 103 [GPA-0013-01] for related discussion pertaining to Item 104 [Z-0023-01] and Item 105 [GPA-0014-01].

(4:48 – 5:40)

5-1320/6-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0147-99(1) - ONE BODY IN CHRIST CHURCH - Request for an Extension of Time and a Review of Condition #5 on an approved Special Use Permit WHICH REQUIRED PARKING LOT PERIMETER LANDSCAPING FOR AN OFF-SITE PARKING LOT AND A 2,806 SQUARE FOOT ADDITION TO AN EXISTING 2,289 SQUARE FOOT CHURCH (ONE BODY IN CHRIST) at 5300 and 5367 Jay Avenue (APN: 138-12-710-042 and 048), R-E (Residence Estates) and C-2 (General Commercial) Zones, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

2

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

3

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

PASTOR DON BIRCH, One Body in Christ Church, 5367 Jay Avenue, concurred with staff's recommendations.

PAUL VAN RUDEN, 4804 Sun Bright Avenue, appeared on behalf of the Northwest Area Residents Association, (NARA), who support the project and the improvements that have been made and those that are planned. The applicant agreed to construct a block wall around the parking lot on Jay Avenue and also between their property and the property to the west of the church.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 106 – U-0147-99(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

The residents that live adjacent to the church suffer the dust. CHRIS GLORE, Planning and Development department, clarified for MR. VAN RUDEN that Condition #5 was requested for a change that had to do with the perimeter landscaping and the applicant requested that that condition be revised because the narrowness of the site would not allow for the perimeter landscaping and provide the necessary access by fire department and other vehicles into the parking area at the rear of the project. MAYOR GOODMAN further clarified that Condition #5 is referenced under Condition #1 in the back-up material.

PASTOR GARY, Good of Saint James Baptist Church and President of the Pastors and Ministers Conference, appeared in support of the applicant. He stated that he unites with other pastors in addressing the concern of the proliferation of churches. But he lends support to other pastors that have churches on the west side of North Las Vegas and West Las Vegas. These churches are needed so those residents do not have to travel far to get to a church. He asked that a steering committee be established to look at guidelines that need to be followed, as well as what the City would like to see as it relates to churches. He asked to meet with the Mayor, as well as with some of the Council members to discuss this issue. MAYOR GOODMAN indicated that the issue could not be discussed, as it was not agendaed. However, he suggested that PASTOR GARY call his office to schedule an appointment to discuss those issues.

COUNCILMAN MACK thanked the applicant for working with NARA, especially for addressing the dust issue.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:37 – 1:44)

3-896

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 106 – U-0147-99(1)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Condition #5 shall be amended to read:

“Prior to obtaining a building permit, submit for approval by the Planning and Development Department a revised landscape plan depicting: a minimum landscape planter width of eight feet adjacent to all property lines with the exception of the north property line, of the church property, which is required to be 15 feet wide, and the south property line, of the church property which is required to be zero feet. In addition, the landscaping required along the east property line, of the church property, shall be waived for the length of the existing and planned structures.

2. This Extension of Time shall expire one year from the date of final approval.

3. Compliance with all other Conditions of approval of the Special Use Permit (U-0147-99).

4. Application must be made for a Special Use Permit for the temporary classroom. If a Special Use Permit is not applied for and granted the structure must be removed from the site.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **SD-0076-00 - JAE SUN KIM ON BEHALF OF JOHN STALUPPI** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 25,932 SQUARE FOOT AUTO DEALERSHIP (RANCHO NISSAN) on the east side of Rancho Drive, approximately 700 feet north of Lone Mountain Road (APN: 125-35-401-003), C-2 (General Commercial), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

4

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Letter from Councilman Mack to John Staluppi, Jr.
5. Submitted at meeting: Letter from Mary McCarty, Commissioner, District IV, Palm Beach County Commission sent to the Mayor and Council members
6. Submitted at meeting: Criteria for Review of Site Development Plans

MOTION:

MACK – DENIED – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining because one of the partners of his law firm had a meeting with the dealership and M. McDONALD abstaining because the attorneys representing the applicant are also his attorneys

MINUTES:

NOTE: A combined Verbatim Transcript of Item 107 [SD-0076-00] and Item 75 [Moratorium on new car dealership in Centennial Hills] is made a part of the Final Minutes under Item 75.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 107 – SD-0076-00

MINUTES – Continued:

APPEARANCES:

BARBARA JO RONEMUS, City Clerk
BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney
CAROL Le DUC, 7575 Rome Boulevard
ANTHONY SGRO, Attorney, 300 East Charleston Boulevard
MICHAEL BELLON, 7300 Stoney Shore Drive
JOHN NETZORG, 2810 West Charleston Boulevard, Suite 81
DAVID JOHNSON, Courtesy Automotive Group
TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street
STEVE SWISHER, 7520 West Rome Boulevard
JOHNNY VENTURA, 601 Rain Tree Lane
STEPHEN REILLY, 7000 Steeple Court
ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK directed staff to expedite the ordinance pertaining to the moratorium, First Reading at the 6/20/01 City Council meeting. Additionally, he invited all interested adjacent property owners and other car dealerships to attend the meeting.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN directed staff to look at the Centennial General Plan and the Rancho Corridor for 60 days to evaluate other uses.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN directed staff that the Verbatim Transcript from the 12/1/99 City Council meeting be made part of the record.

(1:44 – 3:37)

3-1109

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0110-97(1) - BECKER REALTY, INC.** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 140-UNIT MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT on approximately 9.35 acres adjacent to the south side of the Northern Beltway and approximately 600 feet west of Decatur Boulevard (APN: 125-25-501-009), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to R-PD15 (Residential Planned Development - 15 Units Per Acre), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK - APPROVED subject to conditions and the following additional conditions:

- Construct a five-foot wide pedestrian walkway meeting the approval of the Department of Public Works and the Planning and Development Department from the southwest corner of this site through the existing corridor to the corner of Bullring Avenue and Thom Boulevard concurrent with development of this site, to provide pedestrian access from this site to the Lied Middle School to the south. Such improvements shall not inhibit any existing drainageways through this corridor. Maintenance of this pedestrian walkway shall be the responsibility of the Homeowner's Association for the proposed multi-family development.
- Coordinate with the City and the Homeowner's Association for the Tropical/Thom subdivision to the south of this site to determine appropriate pedestrian corridor

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning and Development Department
Item 108 – Z-0110-97(1)

MOTION – Continued:

linking this site to the corner of Bullring Avenue and Thom Boulevard, as well as provision of necessary easements and/or right-of-way and pedestrian lighting improvements. Such improvements, if required, shall be constructed concurrent with development of the multi-family site.

– UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BARRY BECKER, 50 South Jones Boulevard, explained that the 160 acres include the Lied Middle School, once owned by the Lied Foundation. It was master zoned approximately five years ago and is now ready to complete the last two parcels, the commercial and the multi-family. It appears to be advantageous to reduce the multi-family and add three acres to the commercial so that the type of commercial that belongs at the Beltway interchange could be built. He concurred with all staff's conditions and requested that the added condition regarding pedestrian sidewalk to be imposed on Item 108 [Z-0110-97(1)] be handled administratively. BART ANDERSON, Public Works, replied that staff would be happy to continue working with the applicant regarding that additional condition.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: Item 137 [GPA-0008-01] and Item 138 [Z-0018-01] are related items.

(3:37 – 3:43)

4-2053

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. A revised site plan depicting adequate handicap parking shall be submitted to the Planning and Development Department prior to the issuance of permits for this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 108 – Z-0110-97(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

3. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
4. All exterior lighting shall meet the standards of LVMC Section 19A.08.060(C).
5. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 15 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights.
6. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
7. A revised landscape plan demonstrating compliance with the Las Vegas Urban Design Guidelines and Standards shall be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit, or prior to occupancy, whichever occurs first.

Public Works

8. Submit an appropriate Parcel Map to establish parcel boundaries between this site and the site adjacent to the east; such map shall record prior to development of this site. Such parcel map shall provide legal access from this Multi-Family residential development through the easterly portion of this site to the existing 60-foot access easement through the commercial site.
9. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits. All required offsite public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system shall be provided to the City prior to City approval of sewer construction plans, or the issuance of any offsite sewer permits, such easements shall be granted on the required Parcel Map.
10. The proposed gated entry shall meet the intent of Standard Drawing #222A. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed gated driveway and access to this site, final design shall be at the approval of the Traffic Engineering Representative.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 108 – Z-0110-97(1)

CONDITIONS - Continued:

11. The Submitted traffic Impact Analysis must be approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.
12. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.
13. Site development to comply with all applicable Conditions of Approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-110-97 and all other subsequent site-related.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **SD-0012-01 - ASTORIA IRON MOUNTAIN, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 140 LOT SINGLE-FAMILY SUBDIVISION on 35.32 acres at the northeast corner of Iron Mountain Road and Fort Apache Road (APN: 125-05-401-001, 125-05-402-001, 125-05-403-001, and 125-05-404-003), U (Undeveloped) Zone [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development - 3 Units Per Acre), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (3-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****3****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (3-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and **HOLD IN ABEYANCE** Item 132 [GPA-0030-00] and Item 133 [Z-0096-00] to 6/20/01, Item 109 [SD-0012-01], Item 111 [Z-0016-98(4)], Item 112 [GPA-0011-01], Item 113 [Z-0025-01], Item 116 [U-0296-94(2)], Item 117 [U-0271-93(2)], Item 118 [U-0034-01], Item 126 [Z-0106-00], Item 127 [Z-0106-00(1)] to 7/5/01, Item 130 [GPA-0036-00] and Item 131 [Z-0112-00] to 9/5/01, and **STRIKE** Item 128 [Z-0115-00] - **UNANIMOUS**

MINUTES:

ROBERT GRONAUER, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of Astoria Homes and requested to amend the abeyance request from 6/20/01 to 7/5/01 for Item 109 [SD-0012-01].

There was no further discussion.

(1:09 – 1:28)

3-602

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0006-01 - COREY AND SALLY MORLEY, AND WILLIAM AND BARBARA PIPES - Petition to vacate Government Patent Reservations generally located south of Lone Mountain Road and north of Peaceful Dawn Avenue, between Shaumber Road and Barden Road; and to vacate a portion of the Barden Road right-of-way between Lone Mountain Road and Peaceful Dawn Avenue, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

PAUL LARSEN, 300 South 4th Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant and stated that he had recently appeared before the City Council to request four parcels be entered into the Lone Mountain West Master Plan, which was done. He is now requesting the surplus easements of those properties be vacated so that the primary ingress and egress for the Lone Mountain West development is preserved and there would be an opportunity to plan something appropriate for Lone Mountain Road.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 110 – VAC-0006-01

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:43 – 3:45)

4-2317

CONDITIONS:

1. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments. (*Planning and Development*)
2. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress there from shall be provided if required. (*Planning and Development*)
3. This Petition of Vacation shall be revised to retain a 20-foot-wide City of Las Vegas Sewer easement, in an alignment and to a location acceptable to the City Engineer prior to recordation of the Order of Vacation. (*Public Works*)
4. This Vacation Application may be recorded in phases; the Order of Vacation for the right-of-way portion of this application in the Barden Road alignment shall not record until issues related to utility service alignments have been resolved to the satisfaction of the City Engineer. (*Public Works*)
5. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study or other related drainage information acceptable to the Flood Control Section must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation for this application. Appropriate drainage easements shall be reserved if recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study. (*Public Works*)
6. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation. (*Public Works*)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 110 – VAC-0006-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

7. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #6 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five-foot-wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained. *(Public Works)*
8. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or the Planning Commission does not grant an Extension of Time, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted. *(Planning and Development)*

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

MAJOR MODIFICATION - IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH RESIDENTIAL PLANNED DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0016-98(4) - WILLIAM LYON HOMES** - Request for a Major Modification to the Iron Mountain Ranch Residential Planned Development Master Plan TO REMOVE APPROXIMATELY 40 ACRES FROM THE OVERALL PLAN AREA at the northeast corner of the intersection of Jones Boulevard and Grand Teton Drive (APN: 125-12-401-001), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units Per Acre), [PROPOSED: R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units Per Acre)], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-1-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****12****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-1-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and **HOLD IN ABEYANCE** Item 132 [GPA-0030-00] and Item 133 [Z-0096-00] to 6/20/01, Item 109 [SD-0012-01], Item 111 [Z-0016-98(4)], Item 112 [GPA-0011-01], Item 113 [Z-0025-01], Item 116 [U-0296-94(2)], Item 117 [U-0271-93(2)], Item 118 [U-0034-01], Item 126 [Z-0106-00], Item 127 [Z-0106-00(1)] to 7/5/01, Item 130 [GPA-0036-00] and Item 131 [Z-0112-00] to 9/5/01, and **STRIKE** Item 128 [Z-0115-00] - **UNANIMOUS**

There was no discussion.

(1:09 – 1:28)

3-602

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT RELATED TO Z-0016-98(4) - PUBLIC HEARING -
GPA-0011-01 - WILLIAM LYON HOMES - Request to Amend a portion of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan FROM: DR (Desert Rural) TO: R (Rural Density Residential) on approximately 40 acres at the northeast corner of the intersection of Jones Boulevard and Grand Teton Drive (APN: 125-12-401-001), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-1-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

14

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-1-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and **HOLD IN ABEYANCE** Item 132 [GPA-0030-00] and Item 133 [Z-0096-00] to 6/20/01, Item 109 [SD-0012-01], Item 111 [Z-0016-98(4)], Item 112 [GPA-0011-01], Item 113 [Z-0025-01], Item 116 [U-0296-94(2)], Item 117 [U-0271-93(2)], Item 118 [U-0034-01], Item 126 [Z-0106-00], Item 127 [Z-0106-00(1)] to 7/5/01, Item 130 [GPA-0036-00] and Item 131 [Z-0112-00] to 9/5/01, and **STRIKE** Item 128 [Z-0115-00] - **UNANIMOUS**

There was no discussion.

(1:09 – 1:28)

3-602

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING RELATED TO Z-0016-98(4) AND GPA-0011-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0025-01 - WILLIAM LYON HOMES** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) under Resolution of Intent to R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development – 2 Units Per Acre) TO: R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units Per Acre) on approximately 40 acres at the northeast corner of the intersection of Jones Boulevard and Grand Teton Drive, (APN: 125-12-401-001), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION, Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends APPROVAL if Z-0016-98(4) and GPA-0011-01 are approved; or if Z-0016-98(4) is approved and GPA-0011-01 is denied; or if Z-0016-98(4) is denied and GPA-0011-01 is approved; or STRIKE if Z-0016-98(4) and GPA-0011-01 are denied. The Planning Commission (4-1-1 vote) recommends DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****14****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends APPROVAL if Z-0016-98(4) and GPA-0011-01 are approved; or if Z-0016-98(4) is approved and GPA-0011-01 is denied; or if Z-0016-98(4) is denied and GPA-0011-01 is approved; staff recommends this item be STRUCK if Z-0016-98(4) and GPA-0011-01 are denied. The Planning Commission (4-1-1 vote) recommends DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 132 [GPA-0030-00] and Item 133 [Z-0096-00] to 6/20/01, Item 109 [SD-0012-01], Item 111 [Z-0016-98(4)], Item 112 [GPA-0011-01], Item 113 [Z-0025-01], Item 116 [U-0296-94(2)], Item 117 [U-0271-93(2)], Item 118 [U-0034-01], Item 126 [Z-0106-00], Item 127 [Z-0106-00(1)] to 7/5/01, Item 130 [GPA-0036-00] and Item 131 [Z-0112-00] to 9/5/01, and STRIKE Item 128 [Z-0115-00] - UNANIMOUS

There was no discussion.

(1:09 – 1:28)

3-602

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0009-01 - MARTIN & PHYLLIS SCHWARTZ REVOCABLE FAMILY TRUST ON BEHALF OF CHARLESTON RANCHO PLAZA - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW A 20 FOOT HIGH POLE SIGN WHERE 12 FOOT IS THE MAXIMUM HEIGHT PERMITTED located at 2324 West Charleston Boulevard (APN: 139-32-802-032), C-D (Designed Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission vote on a motion for Approval resulted in a tie (2-2-1). This item is being forwarded to City Council without a recommendation

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="4"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission vote on a motion for Approval resulted in a tie (2-2-1). This item is being forwarded to City Council without a recommendation.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD - DENIED – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DARRELL SHOCK, Vision Sign Company, 3625 South Polaris Avenue, and MITCH ALTMAN, 1910 Silver Avenue, appeared on behalf of the applicant. MR. ALTMAN stated that they occupy 10,000 square feet of the first floor of the 2324 West Charleston Boulevard building. They operate under Republic Utility and have a Telecommunication Business License from the City of Las Vegas. They provide long distance Internet service. Many customers go to pay their

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 114 – V-0009-01

MINUTES - Continued:

bills, and because the building is set 50 feet back and between two other buildings, customers do not see it until they drive past. Then they try to make a U-turn in a major thoroughfare, creating a traffic hazard. He presented photographs showing the location of the building and photographs of other buildings that have signs that are higher than the proposed sign.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, stated that the City is trying to diminish pole signs. The applicant should find a way of making a monument sign work. MR. SHOCK replied that there is a five-foot setback required by code. Therefore a five-foot high monument sign would not be visible from the street. The code indicates a 12-foot tall sign is allowed. The reason the applicant is asking for 20 feet is to get the sign elevated high enough to it can be seen from the street and the cars can still safely park underneath the sign.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD pointed out that the residents of the area want the integrity of the area protected. Monument signs, as well as tall signs have been approved for the Charleston Boulevard corridor. However, the Mayor and Council are looking to revitalize signs throughout the City. He expressed his appreciation to the applicant for his due diligence in keeping the residents informed, but the investment of the surrounding properties needs to be protected. The applicant could install a 12-foot sign, which is allowed by code. MR. ALTMAN rebutted that this item has been held in abeyance to give the applicant the opportunity to speak with the homeowners association. However, they were never provided with the information. MAYOR GOODMAN asked MR. ALTMAN what efforts were made to meet with the neighbors. MR. ALTMAN replied that COUNCILMAN McDONALD's office was to provide him with the meeting schedule, but nothing was given to him. COUNCILMAN McDONALD rebutted that the neighbors did not want to meet with the applicant because they do not want a pole sign at that location.

COUNCILMAN REESE noted that during his tenure on the Council many sign variances have been approved. At this time the City is paying a consultant to look at signage. The City cannot tear down the existing signs, but the City can start being consistent and apply the consultant's recommendation. The standard needs to be implemented in the newer shopping centers and streets. MR. ALTMAN pointed out that if a lower sign is installed, one parking space would have to be eliminated and the amount of parking space ratio would diminish.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:45 – 3:58)

4-2391

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 114 – V-0009-01

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Variance is not exercised within two years from date of approval, the Variance shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. The entire sign face shall be limited to 48 square feet as required by the City of Las Vegas Zoning Code (Title 19A) for the C-D zoning district.
3. City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments, which are not affected by approval of this Variance, must be satisfied.
4. Conformance to the site plan and elevations as submitted.

0 AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0010-01 - 5 STAR PROPERTIES-CHARLESTON & RANCHO ON BEHALF OF UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER OF SOUTHERN NEVADA - Review requested by Councilman Michael McDonald from the Approval by the Planning Commission of a request by 5 Star Properties-Charleston & Rancho on behalf of University Medical Center of Southern Nevada for a Variance TO ALLOW A 20 FOOT HIGH MONUMENT SIGN WHERE 8 FOOT IS THE MAXIMUM HEIGHT PERMITTED located at 2231 West Charleston Boulevard (APN: 162-04-101-002), R-E (RESIDENCE ESTATES) Zone under Resolution of Intent to O (Office) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD - DENIED – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DARRELL SHOCK, Vision Sign Company, 3625 South Polaris Avenue, stated that the monument sign is consistent with the overall theme along Charleston Boulevard. He pointed out that SavOn Drugs has a 25-foot tall sign, as well as signs on the building. He believes that the proposed monument sign is appropriate in this area.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 115 – V-0010-01

MINUTES – Continued:

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, appeared in support of the monument sign.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD explained that the reason he brought this item forward was because of his concern regarding signage and the City's direction on signage. Again, the consultant recommended that the City follow the standards that have been established.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD indicated that an option might be that no variances be granted to proposed signs when the new signage standard is adopted.

(3:58 – 4:03)

4-3040

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Variance is not exercised within two years from date of approval, the Variance shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments, which are not affected by approval of this Variance, must be satisfied.
3. Conformance to the site plan and elevations as submitted.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0296-94(2) - K & J PARTNERSHIP ON BEHALF OF ELLER OUTDOOR ADVERTISING** - Appeal from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a request by K & J Partnership on behalf of Eller Outdoor Advertising of a Required One Year Review for an approved Special Use Permit WHICH ALLOWED A 14 FOOT X 48 FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN at 820 South Valley View Boulevard (APN: 139-31-801-002), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 132 [GPA-0030-00] and Item 133 [Z-0096-00] to 6/20/01, Item 109 [SD-0012-01], Item 111 [Z-0016-98(4)], Item 112 [GPA-0011-01], Item 113 [Z-0025-01], Item 116 [U-0296-94(2)], Item 117 [U-0271-93(2)], Item 118 [U-0034-01], Item 126 [Z-0106-00], Item 127 [Z-0106-00(1)] to 7/5/01, Item 130 [GPA-0036-00] and Item 131 [Z-0112-00] to 9/5/01, and STRIKE Item 128 [Z-0115-00] - UNANIMOUS

There was no discussion.

(1:09 – 1:28)

3-602

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - REQUIRED TWO YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0271-93(2) - SZECHWAN CORPORATION ON BEHALF OF LAMAR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING COMPANY** - Required Two Year Review on an approved Special Use Permit on property located at 3101 West Sahara Avenue WHICH ALLOWED A 50 FOOT HIGH, 14 FOOT X 48 FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN, C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald), APN: 162-08-104-004. The Planning Commission (4-1-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-1-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 132 [GPA-0030-00] and Item 133 [Z-0096-00] to 6/20/01, Item 109 [SD-0012-01], Item 111 [Z-0016-98(4)], Item 112 [GPA-0011-01], Item 113 [Z-0025-01], Item 116 [U-0296-94(2)], Item 117 [U-0271-93(2)], Item 118 [U-0034-01], Item 126 [Z-0106-00], Item 127 [Z-0106-00(1)] to 7/5/01, Item 130 [GPA-0036-00] and Item 131 [Z-0112-00] to 9/5/01, and STRIKE Item 128 [Z-0115-00] - UNANIMOUS

There was no discussion.

(1:09 – 1:28)

3-602

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0034-01 - T.J.P. NEVADA, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP ON BEHALF OF SEILER, INC. - Appeal filed by Lionel Sawyer & Collins from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a request by T.J.P. Nevada, Limited Partnership on behalf of Seiler, Inc. for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED 40 FOOT TALL, 14 FOOT X 48 FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN at 2101 South Decatur Boulevard (APN: 163-01-708-004), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) recommends DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 132 [GPA-0030-00] and Item 133 [Z-0096-00] to 6/20/01, Item 109 [SD-0012-01], Item 111 [Z-0016-98(4)], Item 112 [GPA-0011-01], Item 113 [Z-0025-01], Item 116 [U-0296-94(2)], Item 117 [U-0271-93(2)], Item 118 [U-0034-01], Item 126 [Z-0106-00], Item 127 [Z-0106-00(1)] to 7/5/01, Item 130 [GPA-0036-00] and Item 131 [Z-0112-00] to 9/5/01, and STRIKE Item 128 [Z-0115-00] - UNANIMOUS

There was no discussion.

(1:09 – 1:28)

3-602

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0182-00 - CRAIG MARKETPLACE LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Special Use Permit and Site

Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 5,000 SQUARE FOOT TAVERN located approximately 650 feet south of Craig Road and 650 feet east of Tenaya Way (APN: 138-03-701-020), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (3-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****6****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (3-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:**REESE - APPROVED** subject to conditions – **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining because his brother-in-law is the applicant for the proposed Special Use Permit and **WEEKLY** not voting**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY BOB GRONAUER, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of ANDREW DONNER, owner and operator of Timbers Bar and Tavern. The proposed tavern would be located at the corner of Tenaya Way and Craig Road adjacent to the US-95 on-ramp. Due to the commercial development proposed across the street on Craig Road, he believes that this use is compatible and harmonious to the surrounding area.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 119 – U-0182-00

MINUTES - Continued:

GLADYS FEINN, Northwest Citizen Association, stated that she had requested pictures of the actual proposed tavern from MR. DONNER, but none were provided. She would like to show the pictures to her neighbors so that they could see exactly what the tavern would look like. She is concerned about the way the tavern is situated in the back. Someone who might have had too many drinks would have to go through the entire shopping center to exit. ATTORNEY GRONAUER presented a conceptual drawing of the colors and material that would be applied to the entire shopping center designed to be compatible throughout the entire project. The main concern was coming in with a mixed development. The applicant has purchased a piece of property, not a part of the project, and also a County island further to the east.

COUNCILMAN BROWN indicated that the same developer built a similar shopping center on the northeast corner of Buffalo Drive and Lake Mead Boulevard. Each tenant conforms to the design standards of the Plaza whether it be a grocery store, Hallmark, or a Timbers. MS. FEINN stated that the residents are concerned because this is the first bar coming into their neighborhood and are concerned about its size and aesthetics. She clarified with ATTORNEY GRONAUER that the tavern would be 5,000 square feet. MS. FEINN discussed with ATTORNEY GRONAUER that one of the tenants would be Albertson's. He also represents Greater American Capital, who is the developer of the property, and it is their intent to comply with the design guidelines for the entire shopping center.

ATTORNEY GRONAUER stated that he presumes that his client's intent is to develop and design the new portion of the center that has just been purchased in the same standard.

PAT DAVIS, 7204 Elite Court, appeared in opposition of the proposed application. She stated that the proposed tavern would bring in elements that will destroy the neighborhood, as well as present a problem for the children that attend Ruth Duskin School located in the immediate area. She moved into the area because it was residential. She questioned why every business in the neighborhood must sell liquor. Who would be responsible for the accidents that might occur by drunk drivers? It is not fair to the homeowners to have their community decline because of this type of use.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN indicated that he wants to ensure that the shopping center will be developed with the same design.

(4:03 – 4:16)

4-4435

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 119 – U-0182-00

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Submittal of a revised site plan for review by the Planning Commission indicating a twenty-foot rear yard setback or approval by the City Council of a Variance to reduce the rear yard setback.
2. Submittal of a revised landscape plan for review by the Planning Commission depicting an eight foot wide landscape planter along the south property line with 24-inch box tree placed 30-feet on center, unless a Variance for the rear yard setback is approved.
3. Conformance to the submitted elevations.
4. Submittal of a revised site plan indicating pedestrian access to the “not a part” parcel to the south.
5. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
6. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.
7. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two years after this approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
8. All City Code Requirements and all City departments’ design standards shall be met.
9. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
10. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building.
11. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
12. All exterior lighting shall meet the standards of LVMC section 19A.08.060(C).

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 119 – U-0182-00

CONDITIONS – Continued:

Public Works

13. In accordance with the intent of a commercial subdivision, this pad site shall have perpetual common access to all driveways connecting the overall site to the abutting public streets.
14. An update to the previously approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any grading or building permits, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first, as required by the Department of Public Works. Provide and improve all drainageways as recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.
15. Site development to comply with all applicable Conditions of Approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-71-99, the Craig and Tenaya (Commercial Subdivision) and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0035-01 - MISSION SPRINGS PROPERTIES ON BEHALF OF CINGULAR WIRELESS - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED 60 FOOT TALL WIRELESS COMMUNICATION MONOPOLE at 100 South Maryland Parkway (APN: 139-35-310-002), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ROGER SPENCER, 1211 Town Center Drive, #100, appeared on behalf of the applicant and thanked staff, especially RICKY BARLOW from COUNCILMAN WEEKLY's office for working with the applicant on this project.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, stated his concern that the applicant might come back six months from now and request to extend the monopole for an additional carrier. He suggested that the applicant do this at this time.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 120 – U-0035-01

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:16 – 4:18)

5-241

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two years of the approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. All City Code requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.
3. The submitted elevations shall be revised to depict a decorative block wall with no barbed wire surrounding all mechanical equipment.
4. The monopole shall be painted desert tan to be compatible with other buildings on the site.
5. The gates for the enclosure shall be either wrought iron or chain-link with colors that match the decorative block wall.

Public Works

6. Dedicate 25-foot radii on the southwest corner of Fremont Street and Maryland Parkway, on the southeast corner of Fremont Street and 11th Street and on the northeast corner of 11th Street and Carson Avenue prior to the issuance of any permits. Coordinate with the Right-of-way Section of the Department of Public Works for the preparation of the required legal documents. This condition shall not be enforced if the applicant can demonstrate existing private improvements within the areas to be dedicated.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0043-01 - LEONARD & ELIZABETH CARPI TRUST ON BEHALF OF MARCELINA LAMOUREUX - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A SECOND-HAND DEALER at 4331 West Charleston Boulevard (APN: 162-06-510-001), C-1 (Limited Commercial) and R-E (Residence Estates) Zones, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

1

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD - APPROVED subject to conditions with the following added conditions:

- The license shall be limited to a Class 3 License limiting the sale to second-hand clothing only.
- The Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in one-year.

– UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MARCELINA LAMOUREUX was present.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, appeared in support of the application, especially since MS. LAMOUREUX will not be selling firearms.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 121 – U-0043-01

MINUTES - Continued:

COUNCILMAN McDONALD confirmed with MS. LAMOUREUX that she would obtain a Class 3 License allowing only the sale of second-hand clothing and subject to a one-year review.

JOHNNY VENTURA, 601 Rain Tree Lane, appeared in support of the application.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:18 – 4:22)

5-305

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. No outdoor display, sales or storage of any merchandise is permitted.
2. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within one (1) year after the approval, the Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
3. The use shall comply with the applicable requirements of Title 6 of the Las Vegas Municipal Code.
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
5. The sale of firearms is prohibited.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0044-01 - FOSTER-DAY, INC. -
Appeal filed by Kittrell Garlock and Associates from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a request by Foster-Day, Inc. for a Special Use Permit FOR MOTOR VEHICLE SALES (USED) on 2.06 acres on the west side of Rancho Drive, approximately 800 feet north of Cheyenne Avenue (APN: 138-12-801-007), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission (5-1-0 vote) recommends DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	2

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-1-0 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – ABEYANCE to 7/5/01 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

SALVATOR GUANCI, 1500 Vegas Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant and requested that Item 122 [U-0044-01] and 123 [SD-0020-1] be held in abeyance to 7/5/01.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:36 – 1:37)

3-810

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO U-0044-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SD-0020-01 - FOSTER-DAY, INC.** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 1.08 ACRE MOTOR VEHICLE SALES (USED) LOT WITH A 2,250 SQUARE FOOT COMMERCIAL BUILDING; AND FOR A WAIVER OF REQUIRED LANDSCAPING on 2.06 acres on the west side of Rancho Drive, approximately 800 feet north of Cheyenne Avenue (APN: 138-12-801-007), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission (5-1-0 vote) recommends DENIAL.

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-1-0 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:**REESE – ABEYANCE to 7/5/01 – UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

SALVATOR GUANCI, 1500 Vegas Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant and requested that Item 122 [U-0044-01] and 123 [SD-0020-1] be held in abeyance to 7/5/01.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:36 – 1:37)

3-810

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0046-01 - VINCENT EBARB -
Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A BAILBOND SERVICE at 101 East Bonneville Avenue (APN: 139-34-311-034), R-4 (High Density Residential) Zone under Resolution of Intent to C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining because he has an interest in a piece of property across from the proposed project

NOTE: COUNCILMAN REESE disclosed that MR. EBARB played baseball in college together with his son, but feels comfortable voting on this item.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY T. J. MORAN, JR. III, Moran & Associates, 600 South Fourth Street, appeared together with the applicant, VINCENT EBARB. ATTORNEY MORAN congratulated COUNCILMEN WEEKLY, MACK and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD on their election victories. He stated that the proposed project is compatible and harmonious with the surrounding area. This use is needed, especially due to its proximity to the Justice Center.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 124 – U-0046-01

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:22 – 4:25)

5-441

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within one year after the approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. This use shall be reviewed in two years, at which time the City Council may require that this use be terminated.
3. All signs on this site shall be monument type, as defined in Title 19A of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code. The use of flashing, neon, or strobe lighted signs is prohibited.
4. Conformance to the Las Vegas Redevelopment Plan and the Downtown Urban Design Master Plan.
5. All City Code requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.

Public Works

6. Dedicate a 10-foot radius on the southeast corner of First Street and Bonneville Avenue prior to the issuance of any permits.
7. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
8. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Any new or modifications to existing driveways shall be designed, located and constructed to meet the intent of Standard Drawing #222a.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 124 – U-0046-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

9. Landscape and maintain all unimproved rights-of-way on First Street and Bonneville Avenue adjacent to this site.
10. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all private improvements located in the First Street and Bonneville Avenue public rights-of-way adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of this site.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0047-01 - LARRY LAPENTA ON BEHALF OF DAVID WALSH - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR OPEN AIR VENDING IN CONJUNCTION WITH AN EXISTING HARDWARE STORE at 3535 North Rancho Drive, (APN: 138-12-301-003), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CONNIE WALSH, 6225 Meraloma Street, stated that the request is to allow for open-air sale of hot dogs at an existing hardware store located at 3535 North Rancho Drive.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, appeared in support of the application stating that it is a good idea because contractors can eat lunch while shopping at the store.

COUNCILMAN MACK indicated that he supports the application since there have been no concerns from the neighbors regarding this use.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 125 – U-0047-01

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:25 – 4:27)

5-528

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. No open flame cooking is allowed.
2. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two years of the approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
3. All City Code requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0106-00 - MICHELAS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: R-PD8 (Residential Planned Development - 8 Units Per Acre) of 20 Acres on the southeast corner of Iron Mountain Road and Fort Apache Road (APN: 125-08-101-001), PROPOSED USE: 159 LOT SINGLE FAMILY SUBDIVISION, Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-1-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	2
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-1-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 132 [GPA-0030-00] and Item 133 [Z-0096-00] to 6/20/01, Item 109 [SD-0012-01], Item 111 [Z-0016-98(4)], Item 112 [GPA-0011-01], Item 113 [Z-0025-01], Item 116 [U-0296-94(2)], Item 117 [U-0271-93(2)], Item 118 [U-0034-01], Item 126 [Z-0106-00], Item 127 [Z-0106-00(1)] to 7/5/01, Item 130 [GPA-0036-00] and Item 131 [Z-0112-00] to 9/5/01, and STRIKE Item 128 [Z-0115-00] - UNANIMOUS

There was no discussion.

(1:09 – 1:28)

3-602

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0106-00 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0106-00(1) - MICHELAS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 159 LOT SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION on the southeast corner of Iron Mountain Road and Fort Apache Road (APN: 125-08-101-001), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone PROPOSED: R-PD8 (Residential Planned Development - 8 Units Per Acre), Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-1-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****2****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-1-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 132 [GPA-0030-00] and Item 133 [Z-0096-00] to 6/20/01, Item 109 [SD-0012-01], Item 111 [Z-0016-98(4)], Item 112 [GPA-0011-01], Item 113 [Z-0025-01], Item 116 [U-0296-94(2)], Item 117 [U-0271-93(2)], Item 118 [U-0034-01], Item 126 [Z-0106-00], Item 127 [Z-0106-00(1)] to 7/5/01, Item 130 [GPA-0036-00] and Item 131 [Z-0112-00] to 9/5/01, and STRIKE Item 128 [Z-0115-00] - UNANIMOUS

There was no discussion.

(1:09 – 1:28)

3-602

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0115-00 - JOSEPH & SALLY WARPINSKI ON BEHALF OF NEVADA LANDSCAPE CORPORATION** -

Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) and C-2 (General Commercial) TO: C-2 (General Commercial); and a request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Waiver of the required perimeter landscaping FOR A PROPOSED LANDSCAPE MATERIAL YARD at 5232 Ricky Road (APN: 138-12-710-052), Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) recommends DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

123

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

1

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 132 [GPA-0030-00] and Item 133 [Z-0096-00] to 6/20/01, Item 109 [SD-0012-01], Item 111 [Z-0016-98(4)], Item 112 [GPA-0011-01], Item 113 [Z-0025-01], Item 116 [U-0296-94(2)], Item 117 [U-0271-93(2)], Item 118 [U-0034-01], Item 126 [Z-0106-00], Item 127 [Z-0106-00(1)] to 7/5/01, Item 130 [GPA-0036-00] and Item 131 [Z-0112-00] to 9/5/01, and STRIKE Item 128 [Z-0115-00] - UNANIMOUS

There was no discussion.

(1:09 – 1:28)

3-602

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0021-01 - DORRELL FRONTAGE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) [ML-EXP (Medium-Low) General Plan Designation] TO: TC (Town Center) on 30.42 acres located approximately 660 feet south of Elkhorn Road, approximately 660 feet north of Deer Springs Way, and approximately 330 feet east of Fort Apache Road (APN: 125-20-101-013, 014, and 125-20-201-002 through 005), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY BOB GRONAUER, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant and stated that the proposed project is located in the Town Center expansion area. It is one of the first residential projects. He concurred with staff's conditions.

COUNCILMAN MACK expressed his appreciation to the applicant for working closely with staff for this first residential component in Town Center.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 129 – Z-0021-01

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:27 – 4:29)

4-587

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to approval of a Tentative Map, issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Dedicate 40 feet or 80 feet of right-of-way, as appropriate, for Dorrell Lane, 30 feet for Haley Avenue, and 30 feet for Wittig Avenue, in accordance with Town Center standards.
4. Construct half-street or full width street improvements as appropriate along Dorrell Lane, Haley Avenue and Wittig Avenue adjacent to and through this site, including appropriate overpaving (if legally able) on Dorrell Lane concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. Dorrell Lane, Haley Avenue and Wittig Avenue and all interior streets shall be constructed to comply with all applicable Town Center standards.
5. Provide a minimum of two lanes of paved, legal access to this site prior to occupancy of any units within this development.
6. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to extend oversized public sewer in the El Capitan Way alignment to Dorrell Lane, and extend public sewer in Dorrell Lane, Wittig Avenue and Haley Avenue to the western edge of this development to a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 129 – Z-0021-01

CONDITIONS - Continued:

- All required public sewer easements, if any, necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system shall be provided to the City prior to City approval of sewer construction plans, or the issuance of any offsite permits. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits.
7. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.
 8. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first, as required by the Department of Public Works. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Final Map, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the Planning Engineer.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 129 – Z-0021-01

CONDITIONS - Continued:

9. A Homeowner's Association or other private maintenance organization shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections. The CC&R's for the Homeowner's Association shall be submitted to and approved by the City Attorney's Office.
10. The final layout of the subdivision shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING -
GPA-0036-00 - SAHARA WESTLAKE ASSOCIATES - Request to amend a portion of the Southeast Sector Map of the General Plan FROM: SC (Service Commercial) TO: GC (General Commercial) on the south side of Sahara Avenue, approximately 330 feet west of Arville Street (APN's: 162-07-101-008, 009 and 010), Ward 1 (M. McDonald). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) failed to obtain a super-majority which is tantamount to DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="108"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) failed to obtain a super-majority which is tantamount to DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 132 [GPA-0030-00] and Item 133 [Z-0096-00] to 6/20/01, Item 109 [SD-0012-01], Item 111 [Z-0016-98(4)], Item 112 [GPA-0011-01], Item 113 [Z-0025-01], Item 116 [U-0296-94(2)], Item 117 [U-0271-93(2)], Item 118 [U-0034-01], Item 126 [Z-0106-00], Item 127 [Z-0106-00(1)] to 7/5/01, Item 130 [GPA-0036-00] and Item 131 [Z-0112-00] to 9/5/01, and STRIKE Item 128 [Z-0115-00] - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN McDONALD clarified that Item 130 [GPA-0036-00] and Item 131 [Z-0112-00] are to be held in abeyance to 9/5/01 City Council meeting.

There was no further discussion.

(1:09 – 1:28)

3-602

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0036-00 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0112-00 - SAHARA WESTLAKE ASSOCIATES** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: C-1 (Limited Commercial) TO: C-2 (General Commercial) on the south side of Sahara Avenue, approximately 330 feet west of Arville Street (APN's: 162-07-101-008, 009 and 010), PROPOSED USE: NEW MOTORCYCLE DEALERSHIP, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	108
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and **HOLD IN ABEYANCE** Item 132 [GPA-0030-00] and Item 133 [Z-0096-00] to 6/20/01, Item 109 [SD-0012-01], Item 111 [Z-0016-98(4)], Item 112 [GPA-0011-01], Item 113 [Z-0025-01], Item 116 [U-0296-94(2)], Item 117 [U-0271-93(2)], Item 118 [U-0034-01], Item 126 [Z-0106-00], Item 127 [Z-0106-00(1)] to 7/5/01, Item 130 [GPA-0036-00] and Item 131 [Z-0112-00] to 9/5/01, and **STRIKE** Item 128 [Z-0115-00] - **UNANIMOUS**

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN McDONALD clarified that Item 130 [GPA-0036-00] and Item 131 [Z-0112-00] are to be held in abeyance to 9/5/01 City Council meeting.

There was no further discussion.

(1:09 – 1:28)

3-602

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0030-00 - HOWARD HUGHES CORPORATION** - Request to Amend a portion of the Southeast Sector of the General Plan FROM: GC (General Commercial) TO: M (Medium Density Residential) on 15.3 acres approximately 320 feet west of Rancho Drive between Lake Mead Boulevard and Coran Lane (APN: 139-19-601-003), Ward 5 (Weekly). Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission was unable to obtain a super-majority vote therefore this item will be forwarded to the City Council with a DENIAL recommendation

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****220****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****45****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****47****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission was unable to obtain a super-majority vote, therefore, this item will be forwarded to the City Council with a DENIAL recommendation. Staff recommends APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 132 [GPA-0030-00] and Item 133 [Z-0096-00] to 6/20/01, Item 109 [SD-0012-01], Item 111 [Z-0016-98(4)], Item 112 [GPA-0011-01], Item 113 [Z-0025-01], Item 116 [U-0296-94(2)], Item 117 [U-0271-93(2)], Item 118 [U-0034-01], Item 126 [Z-0106-00], Item 127 [Z-0106-00(1)] to 7/5/01, Item 130 [GPA-0036-00] and Item 131 [Z-0112-00] to 9/5/01, and STRIKE Item 128 [Z-0115-00] - UNANIMOUS

There was no discussion.

(1:09 – 1:28)

3-602

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0030-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0096-00 - HOWARD HUGHES CORPORATION** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: C-2 (General Commercial) TO: R-3 (Apartments) on 15.3 acres located approximately 320 feet west of Rancho Drive, between Lake Mead Boulevard and Coran Lane (APN: 139? 19-601-003), PROPOSED USE: APARTMENTS, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (2-1-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

220

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

45

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

47

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (2-1-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 132 [GPA-0030-00] and Item 133 [Z-0096-00] to 6/20/01, Item 109 [SD-0012-01], Item 111 [Z-0016-98(4)], Item 112 [GPA-0011-01], Item 113 [Z-0025-01], Item 116 [U-0296-94(2)], Item 117 [U-0271-93(2)], Item 118 [U-0034-01], Item 126 [Z-0106-00], Item 127 [Z-0106-00(1)] to 7/5/01, Item 130 [GPA-0036-00] and Item 131 [Z-0112-00] to 9/5/01, and STRIKE Item 128 [Z-0115-00] - UNANIMOUS

There was no discussion.

(1:09 – 1:28)

3-602

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0007-01 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request to Amend a portion of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan FROM: ML (Medium-Low Density Residential) TO: PF (Public Facilities) on approximately 5.17 acres on the northwest corner of the intersection of Fort Apache Road and Gilmore Avenue (APN: 138-07-501-014), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****2****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****2****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Las Vegas Fire Department draft Standard Operating Procedure/Noise Reduction Policy for Fire Station 45
5. Submitted at meeting: Two petitions with a total of 111 signatures from residents requesting that a laser controlled traffic signal or a laser controlled emergency signal light be installed at the intersection of Fort Apache and Alexander Road

MOTION:**BROWN - APPROVED – UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID ROARK, Manager, Real Estate and Assets, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's conditions.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 134 – GPA-0007-01

MINUTES - Continued:

NEIL DEXTER, 3800 Diamond Ridge Street, appeared on behalf of his neighbors, who are confused as to whether a traffic signal would be installed at Fort Apache Road and Gilmore Avenue. O.C. WHITE, Public Works, City Traffic Engineer, indicated that a study at that particular location found that it does not warrant a signal light at this time. However, staff would come back before the Council if it determines that a traffic signal is required. MR. DEXTER pointed out that the study was done for the intersection at Fort Apache Road and Alexander Road. He commended DARCY HAYES, COUNCILMAN BROWN's Liaison for coordinating meetings between the residents and City staff. MAYOR GOODMAN added that MS. HAYES pointed out to him that a building under construction in this particular neighborhood caught on fire and the fire department at its current location was unable to reach it in time to extinguish the fire. Had the fire station, as contemplated in this application, been in operation that fire would have been put out.

MR. DEXTER stated that the residents feel that the corner of the YMCA would have been a better location for the fire station. They do not know of any other fire stations within a 360-degree radius of a residential neighborhood being built in the last three years, especially in a new neighborhood. MAYOR GOODMAN pointed out that there is a fire station a few blocks from his residence. MR. DEXTER clarified that the residents do not oppose a fire station. Their concern is safety, the noise and the traffic that this new fire station might create. The other part of their neighborhood had been looking for a light to be put in at Alexander Road and Fort Apache Road. They were told that there was going to be a light at Gilmore Avenue. However, no one wanted that, but if four stop signs and an emergency signal were installed at Alexander Road and Fort Apache Road, they could run silent to Alexander Road and accommodate those homes on Fort Apache Road until they get to Alexander Road.

DEPUTY CHIEF RICK GRACIA discussed with MAYOR GOODMAN that a policy was developed for noise abatement in this specific area modeled after the station in MAYOR GOODMAN's neighborhood. Las Vegas Fire and Rescue Units will avoid returning to the fire station from eastward locations utilizing Gilmore Avenue whenever possible. Obviously, they would need to have the right to exceptions in the event of a traffic accident or something down that corridor. The fire department is sensitive to the wishes of the constituents and has worked hard with them to ensure that their concerns are addressed. As far as comments made regarding traffic signals, it was left to the traffic engineer to decide if a traffic signal is required. MAYOR GOODMAN asked whether the fire engines leaving the fire station would be quiet. DEPUTY CHIEF GRACIA replied that that is easy because they have what is called emergency signals

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 134 – GPA-0007-01

MINUTES - Continued:

that is typically installed in front of every fire station. That is specifically used to stop the traffic in front of the station to allow them to pull out quietly. In most cases, especially at this particular location where there is not a lot of development at this time specifically in the evening time, it is going to be a lot easier for the fire engine to be quiet because their lights are more visible and people generally move out of the way when they see a fire engine. It is during the daylight hours that there might be problems.

MR. DEXTER reiterated that the residents were led to believe that if there was not a traffic signal at Alexander Road and Fort Apache Road that the engine could not run silent to that intersection. That is why the residents are looking for some sort of an emergency control.

FRED LEHNHARDT, 3920 Diamond Ridge Street, stated that a 10-foot wall on the southwest corner of Alexander Road creates poor visibility for people coming from the west to the east and a fire engine would not be able to be seen without a control light of some sort to stop the traffic coming from both directions. There are no stop signs until Rampart Boulevard. Additionally, there are no stop or yield signs at the development located at Sapphire Ridge Avenue and Fort Apache Road. He agreed with MR. DEXTER that putting the fire station in the middle of a totally developed area seems strange. For safety purposes, a traffic signal is needed now at Alexander Road, not six months from now.

EN M. MAI, 9104 Sapphire Ridge Avenue, concurred with the two speakers and requested that a traffic signal be installed at Fort Apache Road and Alexander Road to keep drivers from speeding and to make turning easier for the fire engines. He also asked that the noise be reduced.

COUNCILMAN BROWN stated that the residents biggest concern is that the fire engine comes out silent a lot further through the neighborhood. He made it a part of the motion that everything will be done to mitigate the traffic signal situation to balance the safety aspect. He suggested that in the interim a four-way stop might be installed at Alexander Road and Fort Apache Road. A proposed development along the south side of Alexander Road, east of Fort Apache Road, and a subdivision on the property north of Alexander Road would expedite the warrants. He explained for the public and the viewing audience that the warrant system has to meet a certain criteria as far as the number of cars, accidents, speeding, the average speed, schools in the area, and fire station proposed. COUNCILMAN BROWN thanked the neighborhood, MR. DEXTER and his neighbors, as well as Fire Services for meeting together to discuss these issues.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 134 – GPA-0007-01

MINUTES - Continued:

SAM TOLEMAN, Public Works, Architectural Services, explained that the reason the fire station is proposed for this location and not near the YMCA is to cover this particular area with a quicker response. Fire stations do not need to be close together, but placed in strategic areas. COUNCILMAN BROWN added that not only the strategic location is important, but also the response time itself.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN directed staff that the two petitions submitted by MR. DEXTER regarding the traffic signal would be made part of the record. He directed MR. WHITE to check as to why there is no stop sign at Sapphire Ridge Avenue.

NOTE: See Item 134 [GPA-0007-01] for related discussion pertaining to Item 135 [Z-0016-01] and Item 136 [Z-0016-01(1)].

(4:29 – 4:45)

5-662

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0007-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0016-01 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: C-V (Civic) on approximately 5.17 acres at the northwest corner of the intersection of Fort Apache Road and Gilmore Avenue (APN: 138-07-501-014), PROPOSED USE: FIRE STATION, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

2

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

2

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Las Vegas Fire Department draft Standard Operating Procedure/Noise Reduction Policy for Fire Station 45
5. Submitted at meeting: Two petitions with a total of 111 signatures from residents requesting that a laser controlled traffic signal or a laser controlled emergency signal light be installed at the intersection of Fort Apache and Alexander Road

MOTION:

BROWN - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID ROARK, Manager, Real Estate and Assets, was present.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 135 – Z-0016-01

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: See Item 134 [GPA-0007-01] for related discussion pertaining to Item 135 [Z-0016-01] and Item 136 [Z-0016-01(1)].

(4:29 – 4:45)

5-662

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Dedicate 50 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Fort Apache Road, 30 feet for Gilmore Avenue and a 25-foot radius on the northwest corner of Fort Apache Road and Gilmore Avenue prior to the issuance of any permits.
4. Grant an appropriate ingress/egress easement to provide access to the exiting Las Vegas Valley Well Site at the northwest corner of this site if such easement does not already exist.
5. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements on Fort Apache Road and Gilmore Avenue adjacent to this site, extending northward and westward respectively to tie into existing improvements along Fort Apache Road and Gilmore Avenue. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
6. A Traffic Impact Analysis, or other information acceptable to the Department of Public Works, must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the submittal of any construction drawings. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 135 – Z-0016-01

CONDITIONS – Continued:

7. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0007-01 AND Z-0016-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0016-01(1) - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 10,738 SQUARE FOOT FIRE STATION on approximately 5.17 acres located on the northwest corner of the intersection of Fort Apache Road and Gilmore Avenue (APN: 138-07-501-014), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, [PROPOSED: C-V (Civic)], Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****2****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****2****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Las Vegas Fire Department draft Standard Operating Procedure/Noise Reduction Policy for Fire Station 45
5. Submitted at meeting: Two petitions with a total of 111 signatures from residents requesting that a laser controlled traffic signal or a laser controlled emergency signal light be installed at the intersection of Fort Apache and Alexander Road

MOTION:

BROWN - APPROVED subject to conditions and that staff will work as expeditiously as possible as far as the traffic mitigation in the immediate area – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID ROARK, Manager, Real Estate and Assets, was present.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 136 – Z-0016-01(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 134 [GPA-0007-01] for related discussion pertaining to Item 135 [Z-0016-01] and Item 136 [Z-0016-01(1)].

(4:29 – 4:45)

5-662

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The City Council shall approve a Rezoning to a C-V (Civic) Zoning District.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. The site plan shall be revised to depict vehicle access driveways off Fort Apache Road, and no access driveways off Gilmore Avenue.
4. The site plan shall be revised to relocate the fuel pump to a location a minimum of 50 feet away from the adjacent residences.
5. The landscape plan shall be amended to depict at least 36-inch box trees, including at least 50% evergreen, and spaced a minimum of twenty feet on center, within the planters adjacent to the west property boundary.
6. The Las Vegas Fire and Rescue units shall refrain from using sirens, except when required by traffic conditions, until reaching main thoroughfares.
7. Outside speakers, radios and other alerting devices when used outside shall be used only during daylight hours.
8. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Lighting standards within the parking lot shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights.
9. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 136 – Z-0016-01(1)

CONDITIONS - Continued:

10. All exterior lighting shall meet the standards of LVMC section 19A.08.060.
11. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.

Public Works

12. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
13. Site Development to comply with all previous Conditions of Approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-0016-01.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER ☐ CONSENT ☒ DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0008-01 - BECKER REALTY, INC.** - Request to Amend a portion of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan of the General Plan FROM: M (Medium Density Residential) TO: SC (Service Commercial) for 3.6 acres located on the south side of the northern Beltway alignment, approximately 630 feet west of Decatur Boulevard (APN: 125-25-501-009), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="1"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BARRY BECKER, 50 South Jones Boulevard, was present.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 108 [Z-0110-97(1)] for discussion pertaining to Item 137 [GPA-0008-01] and Item 138 [Z-0018-01].

(3:37 – 3:43)

4-2053

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0008-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0018-01 - BECKER REALTY, INC.** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) under Resolution of Intent to R-PD15 (Residential Planned Development - 15 Units Per Acre) TO: C-1 (Limited Commercial) for 3.6 acres on the south side of the northern Beltway alignment, approximately 630 feet west of Decatur Boulevard (APN: 125-25-501-009), PROPOSED USE: RETAIL COMMERCIAL CENTER, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BARRY BECKER, 50 South Jones Boulevard, was present.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 108 [Z-0110-97(1)] for discussion pertaining to Item 137 [GPA-0008-01] and Item 138 [Z-0018-01].

(3:37 – 3:43)

4-2053

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 138 – Z-0018-01

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Submit an appropriate Parcel Map to establish parcel boundaries between this site and the site adjacent to the west; such map shall record prior to development of this site.
4. Grant an access easement to extend the existing 60-foot access easement across this site to the westerly portion of APN#125-25-501-009, as created by the parcel map. Unless an alternate access is submitted to and approved by the City. Any such easement shall be granted on the required Parcel Map.
5. Provided public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits. All required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site and the site to the west to the existing public sewer system shall be provided to the City prior to City approval of sewer construction plans, such easements shall be granted on the required Parcel Map.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - GPA-0010-01 - AMERICAN BAPTIST CHURCHES OF THE PACIFIC SOUTHWEST ON BEHALF OF FELLOWSHIP CHRISTIAN CHURCH - Request to Amend a portion of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan FROM: DR (Desert Rural) TO: PF (Public Facility) on 4.9 acres at 6210 West Cheyenne Avenue (APN: 138-11-802-011), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK - APPROVED – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD disclosed that DOUG RANKIN, his Council Liaison resides in the vicinity of the proposed church. However, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT verified that he could vote on the item.

The applicant was not present.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 139 – GPA-0010-01

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 139 [GPA-0010-01] for related discussion pertaining to Item 140 [Z-0020-01].

(4:45 – 4:48)

5-1249

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0010-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0020-01** -
**AMERICAN BAPTIST CHURCHES OF THE PACIFIC SOUTHWEST ON BEHALF OF
FELLOWSHIP CHRISTIAN CHURCH** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence
Estates) TO: C-V (Civic) on 4.9 acres at 6210 West Cheyenne (APN: 138-11-802-011),
PROPOSED USE: CHURCH, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff
recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD disclosed that DOUG RANKIN, his Council Liaison
resides in the vicinity of the proposed church. However, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN
SCOTT verified that he could vote on the item.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicant was not present.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 140 – Z-0020-01

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 139 [GPA-0010-01] for related discussion pertaining to Item 140 [Z-0020-01].

(4:45 – 4:48)

5-1249

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review shall be approved prior to the issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity on this site.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

SET DATE ON ANY APPEALS FILED OR REQUIRED PUBLIC HEARINGS FROM THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETINGS AND DANGEROUS BUILDINGS OR NUISANCE/LITTER ABATEMENTS

DB 900 N. TONOPAH, DB 1721 S. EASTERN, 3518 NAVAJO WAY, U-0160-96, U-0031-00, U-0032-00, U-0048-01, U-0049-01, U-0051-01, U-0052-01, U-0053-01, V-0020-91(2), V-0027-01, V-0028-01, V-0029-01, VAC-0003-01, VAC-0007-01 – 6/20/2001 AGENDA

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

ADDENDUM:

None.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE **CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 6, 2001**

CITIZENS PARTICIPATION:

Items raised under this portion of the City Council Agenda cannot be deliberated or acted upon until the notice provisions of the Open Meeting Law have been met. If you wish to speak on a matter not listed on the agenda, please step up to the podium and clearly state your name and address. In consideration of others, avoid repetition, and limit your comments to no more than three (3) minutes. To ensure all persons equal opportunity to speak, each subject matter will be limited to ten (10) minutes.

MINUTES:

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, stated that his home has been in the rehabilitation program for over two years and because of budget limitations it cannot be done. Therefore, he would have to do it himself and it will take longer. Therefore, he asked for an extension on the building permits and asked that the Council contact the Building Department on his behalf for the extension. He also asked that the Council direct the Building and Safety Department, Neighborhood Services and the Housing of Urban Development (HUD) to come up with a standard approved method of re-insulating the walls in older homes. He submitted a copy of his comments, which have been made a part of the final minutes. MAYOR PRO TEM REESE directed the City Manager to look into MR. FARLOW's request.

(5:41 – 5:44)

6-80

BROTHER DAVID BUER, 1420 W. Barlow Street, stated that for the past week he has been at the railroad tracks, where the homeless have been encamped, to clean up the refuse. He thanked the Rapid Response team and COUNCILMAN WEEKLY's office for their help in hauling away the trash. He reiterated the need for shelter for those who would use it and to distinguish between those who would not. A year ago, when the winter tent closed, 175 beds were available at Catholic Charities for those people housed in the tent. However, due to Catholic Charities' construction those beds are not available. He asked the Council to support some immediate shelter for the homeless until the construction is complete. With the forced move of the homeless from the railroad tracks, they have nowhere to go. Assurances were made that adequate shelter would be found for those people, but the existing man shelter at the Las Vegas Rescue Mission or Salvation Army is a seven-day shelter for a 30-day period. Two blocks away from the railroad tracks the MASH tent sits empty, and it would be an immediate solution to this problem. BROTHER BUER added that many of the men are alcoholics and that they need one day at a time to be sober and the motivation for many of the homeless is to have adequate shelter to encourage them to be sober for that night.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001 CITIZEN PARTICIPATION

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY thanked BROTHER BUER for his support and stated that he is not looking to shift the social issues of his Ward into any other Ward or any other municipality. This is an issue for which he does not have an immediate answer. He has a meeting scheduled with the Sheriff where he and MAYOR GOODMAN will discuss how to deal with this issue in a tactful manner without harassing anyone. He expressed his appreciation to BROTHER BUER for helping with the clean up. He further asked for BROTHER BUER's support, as the Council continues to come up with a solution, as well as putting together a task force to deal with this issue. He would definitely keep BROTHER BUER informed with the conversation that is planned with the Sheriff.

BROTHER BUER asked MAYOR GOODMAN about the probability of opening the MASH tent on an emergency basis. MAYOR GOODMAN replied that the Council could not comment or take action at this time because the item is not agendaed. However, his comments will be taken into consideration.

(5:44 – 5:48)

6-147

STEVE MUKAWSKI, Director of the Poverello House, 1420 West Bartlett Avenue, noted that he works on the street with the homeless. He has become a conduit for information on what is really happening to them and what is in store for them in the future. Comments made by SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director of Neighborhood Services, that they were going to be housed or helped without funding concerns the homeless very greatly. Their concern is that they will be housed at the Detention Center. MAYOR GOODMAN clarified that that was not the intention of those comments. The comments were to go to assess the situation with professional help and move these people into places where they could be helped. MR. MUKAWSKI requested that he be an advocate between the City and the homeless to assure the homeless of their future. They might not have a voting voice, but they are also part of this Council constituency.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY reiterated that those comments are rumors and hearsay. Everyone has to work together to resolve this issue. Sometimes sight is lost on the real issues. Women, men and children are living on the street. He indicated that he would be happy to schedule a meeting with MR. MUKAWSKI after he meets with the Sheriff. MR. MUKAWSKI stressed that these concerns are those of the homeless who are impacted by any measure that might take place.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001 CITIZEN PARTICIPATION CONTINUED:

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN McDONALD stated that he worked for 10 years with the homeless as a police officer and has seen the different issues that impact the homeless; mental illness, alcoholism and those who do not want to be helped by society. However, a solution needs to be found that will not compromise any of the work that has already been done by this Board, as well as members of private coalitions. The biggest problem is trying to help someone who does not want to be helped. Give a man a hand up not a hand down. He asked that MR. MUKAWSKI be the go between the homeless, the Mayor's Office and Council and to apprise the homeless of MAYOR GOODMAN's and COUNCILMAN WEEKLY's positions on this issue.

(5:48 – 5:50)

6-294

DAN CONTRERAS, Bonanza Village, indicated that he has been looking at the temporary fence for 296 days. For eight months he has been asking that this issue be resolved. He does not have access to about 30 feet of his property, and he cannot use his back yard. The fence falls down every time the wind blows. MR. CONTRERAS addressed some of the issues on Washington Avenue, such as two bus stops being removed and no one able to tell him where they would be relocated. He asked that someone from the City look at the project to make sure that there will be no more holdups. He further stated that he has called RICHARD GOECKE, Director of Public Works and JEFF COLUMBUS, but has not received a call back. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stated that he understands his frustration and that City staff has been responsive to his concerns.

(5:50 – 5:55)

6-525

LOUISE RUSKAMP, 8500 Log Cabin Way, addressed the lack of public parking around City Hall. It is difficult to get into the parking across from City Hall, and it is difficult to get out. The parking lot at Sixth Street and Mesquite worked great, but 90% of that parking lot is reserved for City vehicles only. This makes it very difficult for the public to attend meetings. She asked whether the public could again park at Sixth Street and Mesquite. CITY MANAGER VALENTINE replied that when the City lost the parking over by the Post Office, some of the City vehicles were moved to Sixth Street and Mesquite. However, she will look into this situation.

(5:55 – 6:07)

6-670

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001
CITIZEN PARTICIPATION CONTINUED:

MINUTES – Continued:

STEPHEN REILLY, 7000 Steeple Court, addressed the Town Center Standards and stated that they are not being implemented in some of the projects that are being developed in Town Center. Therefore, if they do not conform to the Town Center Standards, they would create a community with streetscape and landscaping apart from other communities. He presented photographs, which were not made a part of the record, showing a chain link fence, flags, a painted sign on a building and poorly landscaped medians and weeds growing in the landscape buffering adjacent to the sidewalks. All of these items are not allowed in Town Center or do not conform to the Town Center Standards. Six months ago he asked that the City look into this concern, but so far nothing has happened. Again he requested that the City implement some type of enforcement to ensure that the Town Center Standards are implemented on buildings that are being erected.

COUNCILMAN MACK replied that MR. REILLY's concern did not go on deaf ears. He has had conversations with ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning & Development Department, and VIRGINIA VALENTINE, City Manager, to actively pursue enforcing the Town Center Standards. He stated that he would be happy to meet with MR. REILLY to address his concerns. MR. REILLY added that if anyone who is familiar with the Town Center Standards would go and look at many of the new projects, they would find many violations. The standards should be enforced now before the Town Center Standards are amended.

**MEETING RECESSED TO THE 6/25/01 CITY COUNCIL MEETING, 10:00 A.M., 8th
FLOOR CONFERENCE ROOM**

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 6, 2001 NOTES AND DIRECTIVES

COUNCILMAN MACK directed staff to expedite the ordinance pertaining to the moratorium First Reading at the 6/20/01 City Council meeting. Additionally, he invited all interested adjacent property owners and other car dealerships to attend the meeting. (See Item 107 – SD-0076-00)

COUNCILMAN BROWN directed staff to look at the Centennial General Plan and the Rancho Corridor for 60 days to evaluate other uses. (See Item 107 – SD-0076-00)

COUNCILMAN BROWN directed staff that the Verbatim Transcript from the 12/1/99 City Council meeting be made part a the record. (See Item 107 – SD-0076-00)

(1:44 – 3:37)

3-1109

COUNCILMAN McDONALD indicated that an option might be that no Variances be granted to proposed signs when the new signage standard is adopted. (See Item V-0010-01)

(3:58 – 4:03)

4-3040

COUNCILMAN BROWN indicated that he wants to ensure that the shopping center will be developed with the same design. (See Item 199 U-0182-00)

(4:03 – 4:16)

4-4435

COUNCILMAN BROWN directed staff that the two petitions submitted by MR. DEXTER regarding the traffic signal would be made a part of the record. He directed MR. WHITE to check as to why there is no stop sign at Sapphire Ridge Avenue. (See Item 134 GPA-0007-01)

(4:29 – 4:45)

5-662